

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

PREDICTORS OF BREAST SELF-EXAMINATION UPTAKE AMONG WOMEN IN MALE' REGION, MALDIVES

Aishath Niyaf¹, Sairah AK¹ and Mohammed A. Abdalqader²

¹School of Graduate Studies, Management and Science University, Malaysia

²International Medical School, Management and Science University, Malaysia

Corresponding author: Aishath Niyaf

Email: aishaniyaf@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Breast cancer (BC), is the most common cancer that affects women, and one of the significant causes of mortality among them. Screening and early detection of the disease are encouraged as a means of reducing mortality and enhancing the prognosis. The purpose of the study is to determine the prevalence of Breast Self-Examination (BSE) uptake and to identify the factors that are associated with the BSE uptake among Maldivian women in the Male' region. The cross-sectional study was carried out over a period of 3 months in the Male' region, of the Maldives. A total of 600 female citizens aged 20-79 years were selected using stratified random sampling method. Data were collected using an interviewer-administered questionnaire. About 82.70%, 54.80%, and 63.30% of the respondents had heard about BC, breast cancer screening (BCS), and BSE respectively. 50.80% of the respondents had ever performed BSE with only 22.00% performed it regularly. The significant predictors for BSE uptake were: ever heard about BC [OR=2.069, 95% CI(1.081-3.959)], ever heard about BSE [OR=2.342, 95% CI(1.326-4.137)], ever heard about clinical breast examination (CBE) [OR=1.946, 95% CI(1.117-3.390)], knowledge on early detection of BC [OR=1.077, 95% CI(1.011-1.148)], perceptions on the severity of BC [OR=1.062, 95% CI(1.010-1.116)], perceptions on barriers towards BSE [OR=0.900, 95% CI(0.846-0.958)] and self-efficacy [OR=1.112, 95% CI(1.076-1.150)]. The findings indicated that there is a pressing need for continued education and behaviour modification intervention programs using theories and models to increase women's overall knowledge and awareness of BC and BCS and modify the long-held incorrect beliefs and misconceptions. In particular, the relevant authorities should play a proactive role in raising awareness of BC and promote BCS.

Keywords: Predictors, Breast Cancer, Breast Self-Examination, Maldives

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer (BC), is the most common cancer that affects women, and one of the significant causes of mortality among them ¹. The prevalence rate of the disease is projected to rise to 2 million by the year 2030, and most of the incidences are expected to emerge from the developing countries ². BC is identified as the second-highest cancer in the Maldives and in females across the population, it is the most common cancer ^{3, 4}.

Early detection of BC plays a key role in improving prognosis, decreasing morbidity and mortality rates ⁵⁻⁷. Screening and early detection of the disease are encouraged as a means of reducing mortality and enhancing the prognosis ⁸. The most common methods for early detection of BC include Breast Self-Examination (BSE), Clinical Breast Examination (CBE) and Mammography Screening ⁹. Even though BSE alone may not be adequate for early detection of BC, it is considered as an important screening modality in developing countries because it is one of the simplest, non-invasive, and cheap methods to conduct screening for BC ¹⁰⁻¹³. Performing regular BSE

helps women become more aware of their breast structure and enables them to recognize any abnormal changes in their breasts ^{10, 11}.

Several factors such as sociodemographic characteristics ^{10, 14-17}, women's knowledge on BC, and breast cancer screening (BCS) have shown to be associated with the BSE uptake ¹⁷⁻¹⁹. Additionally, previous studies based on the Health Belief Model (HBM) provide empirical evidence indicating women's beliefs and perceptions to influence BSE uptake ^{9, 14, 17, 20-23}.

The purpose of this study is to determine the prevalence of BSE uptake and to identify the factors that are associated with the BSE uptake among Maldivian women in the Male' region of Maldives. The findings of the study will help all the relevant stakeholders to get an in-depth understanding in relation to the BSE uptake in the country. As BC is the most common cancer among females in the country, the need to implement mechanisms geared towards detecting the condition early and putting forward proper measures to address the problem is exceptionally important.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design and Sample

This cross-sectional study was carried out among a total of 600 female citizens in the Male' Region, Maldives, between December 2019 to February 2020. The stratified random sampling method was used for the selection of the study sample. All six wards of the Male' region were categorised as six strata. For each of the six strata, census blocks (CB's) were allocated randomly according to the proportion of the population. Next, eleven households from each selected CB were selected, based on simple random sampling, and one eligible woman from the selected households were recruited. The inclusion criteria that were applied for the study were: female citizens aged 20-79 years, comprehend both spoken and written Dhivehi language, no history of BC or any other cancers, mentally fit and consent to participate in the study. The sample size for this study was estimated by using the following formula:

$$n = \frac{(z_{\alpha} + z_{\beta})^2 (P_1(1-P_1) + P_2(1-P_2))}{(P_1 - P_2)^2}$$

n= sample size estimation

Z_α= Confidence Level of 95%, α is 0.05 and the critical value is 1.96

Z_β= power of 80%, B is 0.2 and the critical value is 0.84

P₁ and P₂ is based on the study conducted by Dahlui et al (2012) where;

P₁= 10.4 (proportion of working women who have had a mammogram ever) and

P₂= 16.6 (proportion of non-working women who have had a mammogram ever).

To address the effect of subject attrition, the sample size was increased by 20%.

The study obtained ethical approval from the ethics committee of Management and Science University, Malaysia and Health Research Committee, Ministry of Health, Maldives.

Instrument

Data was collected through a validated and reliable interviewer-administered questionnaire which comprised of five sections: 1) Socio-demographic characteristics and family health history of the participants. 2) Sources of BC and BCS information. 3) Participants' knowledge on BC (signs and symptoms, risk factors) and early detection of BC. This section was evaluated through a questionnaire by Parsa et al (2008). Responses were measured using "true", "false" and "I don't know", where respondents were given one point for a correct answer and zero for an incorrect answer or if they do not know. 4) Participants' beliefs on BC and BCS. This

section was evaluated by a modified, reliable, and validated tool adapted from Champion's revised health belief model scales (CHBMS) ²⁴. It comprised of self-reported measures, on the six constructs of the HBM: susceptibility to BC, the seriousness of BC, benefits of BSE, barriers to BSE, self-efficacy (confidence), and cues to action (health motivation). All items contained 5 response choices that were measured using a Likert scale ranging from "strongly disagree" (1 point) to "Strongly agree" (5 points). All subscales were positively associated with screening except for the barriers. Construct validity and reliability of the adapted CHBMS were tested by Parsa et al (2008) and found to be acceptable. 5) Participants BSE uptake. This was assessed by self-reported measures on BSE performance, frequency, timing, the reasons for the unwillingness to the uptake as well as the intention for future uptake. The questionnaire was pretested among 60 women and the reliability was confirmed based on Cronbach Alpha values. The Cronbach's alpha values for total knowledge on BC and BCS as well as total beliefs scales (CHBMS) were 0.901 and 0.826 respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was carried out using IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25. Descriptive and inferential statistical analysis were carried out in the study. Independent t-test and Chi-square tests were performed to determine the factors associated with BSE uptake. Logistic regression analysis was conducted to identify which variables significantly predicted BSE uptake. The level of significance was set at <0.05 for all tests.

RESULTS

Sociodemographic Characteristics, Family Health History and BC and BCS Information

The study respondent's mean age was 39.43±12.62 years. Most of them (75.50%) were married. About 30.20% of the respondents attained a secondary school level of education, whereas 33.60% achieved A' levels and above. More than half of them (67.50%) were unemployed while 32.50% were employed. The mean monthly income and household monthly income was Maldivian Rufiyaa (MVR) 11,213.05±9663.53 and 30,064.80±19,491.62 respectively (1 US \$= 15.42 MVR). About 11.30% of the respondents had private health insurance.

A small percentage of respondents indicated ever being diagnosed with a disease or condition(s) related to the breast (7.70%) and having a family history of BC (6.80%). About

82.70%, 54.80%, and 63.30% of the respondents had heard about BC, BCS, and BSE respectively. The major sources of BC information indicated by the study respondents were the internet and television with 46.20% and 44.00% respectively.

BSE Uptake

About half (50.80%), reported ever having performed BSE. Of those who performed, only 22.00% had done it on a regular monthly basis, while most women reported that they perform BSE sometimes. Regarding when BSE was done, only 28.50% of women reported they perform BSE 1-2 days after the period had stopped. Taking into contemplation, the number of times BSE was done in the previous year, 51.50%, 26.60%, and 22.00% had performed BSE less than 4 times, 5-8 times, and more than 9 times respectively. The reasons indicated by the study respondents for not doing BSE were: do not have a need for it (68.80%), lack of knowledge on BSE (24.40%), not knowing how to do it (20.30%), being too busy (5.40%), forgetting about it (4.10%), and takes a long time to do it (0.30%). Approximately 61.00% of the study respondents expressed that they intend to do regular BSE in the future.

Factors Associated with the BSE Uptake

Sociodemographic, Family Health History and BC and BCS Information with BSE Uptake

As shown in table 1 and 2, the variables, age (p-value=0.002), educational level (p-value<0.001), employment status (p-value=0.003), regular medical check-up (p-value=0.022), ever heard about BC (p-value<0.001), ever heard about BCS (p-value<0.001), ever heard about BSE (p-value<0.001), ever heard about CBE (p-value<0.001), ever heard about Mammogram (p-value<0.001), ever had a CBE done (p-value<0.001), and ever had a Mammogram done (p-value<0.001), were statistically significant and found to be associated to influence the BSE uptake. The other socio-demographic and health history variables, which are: monthly income, monthly household income, marital status, insurance status, ever diagnosed for any breast disease/conditions, and family history of BC, depict non-significant p-values indicating that

there is no mean difference among the two groups.

Knowledge and Beliefs (CHBMS) on BC and BCS with BSE Uptake

The relationship and comparison between BSE uptake (those who had performed BSE versus those who had not performed BSE) with knowledge and beliefs (CHBMS) on BC and BCS are unveiled in table 3. Significant mean difference among the two groups were observed for knowledge on symptoms of BC (p-value<0.001), risk factors of BC (p-value<0.001), early detection of BC (p-value<0.001), benefits of BSE (p-value<0.001), barriers to BSE (p-value<0.001), self-efficacy (p-value<0.001), and health motivation (p-value=0.022). No significant difference between the two groups was found for the susceptibility and the severity sub-scales.

Significant Predictors for BSE Uptake

Table 4 depicts the logistic regression model for the predictors of BSE uptake. The variables which were found to be associated with the BSE uptake, as well as those based on the HBM theory were included in the regression analysis. The strongest predictor for BSE uptake was having heard about BSE, signifying that women who have heard about BSE were two times more likely to perform BSE than those who have not heard about it (OR=2.342, 95% CI: 1.326-4.137). Moreover, those women who had heard about BC (OR=2.069, 95% CI: 1.081-3.959) and CBE (OR=1.946, 95% CI: 1.117-3.390) were more likely to perform BSE than those who have not heard about it. Furthermore, those who had more insight on early detection of BC (OR=1.077, 95% CI: 1.011-1.148) were more likely to perform BSE than those who lack the specific knowledge. In relation to beliefs on BC and BCS variables, those women who have more confidence in their ability to perform screening (OR=1.112, 95% CI: 1.076-1.150), those who perceive that BC is a serious disease, or having the disease would have severe consequences (OR=1.062, 95% CI: 1.010-1.116), were more likely to perform BSE than those who lack the confidence and such beliefs. In addition, those who perceive greater barriers to BSE (OR=0.900, 95% CI: 0.846-0.958) were less likely to perform BSE than those women who lack the belief.

Table 1: Association Between Sociodemographic, Family Health History, and BC and BCS Information Variables with BSE Uptake

Characteristics	Statistics		
	BSE done (n=192) n (%)	BSE not done (n=272) n (%)	p-value
Marital status			
Currently married	239 (52.80)	214 (47.20)	0.098
Currently not married	66 (44.90)	81 (55.10)	
Educational level			
Lower level	168 (42.20)	230 (57.80)	<0.001*
Higher level	137 (67.80)	65 (32.20)	
Employment status			
Employed	116 (59.50)	79 (40.50)	0.003*
Unemployed	189 (46.70)	216 (53.30)	
Insurance status (Private)			
Yes	42 (61.80)	26 (38.20)	0.056
No	263 (49.40)	269 (50.60)	
Ever diagnosed for any breast disease			
Yes	27 (58.70)	19 (41.30)	0.267
No	278 (50.20)	276 (49.80)	
Family history of BC			
Yes	24 (58.50)	17 (41.50)	0.307
No	281 (50.30)	278 (49.70)	
Regular medical check-up			
Yes	117 (57.40)	87 (42.60)	0.022*
No	188 (47.50)	208 (52.50)	
Ever heard about BC			
Yes	283 (57.10)	213 (42.90)	<0.001*
No	22 (21.20)	82 (78.80)	
Ever heard about BCS			
Yes	219 (66.60)	110 (33.40)	<0.001*
No	86 (31.70)	185 (68.30)	
Ever heard about BSE			
Yes	258 (67.90)	122 (32.10)	<0.001*
No	47 (21.40)	173 (78.60)	
Ever heard about CBE			
Yes	197 (72.70)	74 (27.30)	<0.001*
No	108 (32.80)	221 (67.20)	
Ever heard about Mammogram			
Yes	172 (66.40)	87 (33.60)	<0.001*
No	133 (39.00)	208 (61.00)	
Ever had a CBE			
Yes	127 (66.10)	65 (33.90)	<0.001*
No	108 (39.70)	164 (60.30)	
Ever had a Mammogram			
Yes	51 (57.30)	38 (42.70)	<0.001*
No	57 (33.50)	113 (66.50)	

Bold: Significance at level p-value<0.05*

Table 2: Association Between Sociodemographic, Family Health History, and BC and BCS Information Variables with BSE Uptake

Characteristics	Statistics				
	Mean	Std.Dev	Mean Difference	t	p-value
Age					
BSE done (n=305)	37.85	11.52	3.222	3.140	0.002*
BSE not done (n=295)	41.07	13.49			
Monthly income					
BSE done (n=171)	11821.40	9782.50	-1351.404	-1.228	0.220
BSE not done (n=140)	10470.00	9498.13			
Monthly household income					
BSE done (n=305)	30728.26	19976.03	-1349.415	-0.848	0.397
BSE not done (n=295)	29378.85	18987.30			

Bold: Significance at level p-value<0.05***Table 3: Knowledge and Beliefs (CHBMS) on BC and BCS Between Those Who Performed BSE and Those Who Had Not Performed BSE**

Variables	BSE done (n=305)	BSE not done (n=295)	
	Mean±SD	Mean±SD	p-value
Knowledge			
Symptoms of BC	5.22 ± 2.07	3.74 ± 2.26	<0.001*
Risk factors of BC	6.79 ± 2.44	5.30 ± 2.83	<0.001*
Early detection of BC	12.85 ± 3.31	10.13 ± 4.66	<0.001*
Total knowledge	24.86 ± 5.88	19.17 ± 8.13	<0.001
Beliefs (CHBMS)			
Susceptibility to BC	12.67 ± 3.31	13.00 ± 3.37	0.234
Severity of BC	23.35 ± 4.40	23.25 ± 4.52	0.783
Benefits of BSE	24.26 ± 2.88	23.27 ± 3.18	<0.001*
Barriers towards BSE	14.48 ± 3.21	16.65 ± 3.92	<0.001*
Self-efficacy (Confidence)	38.44 ± 6.31	32.00 ± 7.33	<0.001*
Cues to Action (Health motivation)	22.94 ± 2.87	22.42 ± 2.71	0.022*
Total beliefs	201.93 ± 14.33	196.80 ± 16.76	<0.001

Bold: Significance at level p-value<0.05*

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study indicate low regular BSE uptake rates among Maldivian women. More than half (63.30%) of the respondents had heard about BSE. However, only 22.00% of them performed it regularly. Similar to the present study findings, regular performance of BSE were reported as 21.10% among Saudi women²², 18.00% among university students in Saudi¹³, 24.60% among university students in Iran¹⁷, 26.00% among undergraduate students in Malaysia¹⁰, 18.60% among women in Turkey²⁵, 25.00% among undergraduate students in Nigeria²⁶, 20.00% among rural women in India²⁷ and 25.50% among female health personnel in Kathmandu¹¹. In contrast, better regular performance of BSE rates than the present study were reported in studies, with 41.40% among women in Delhi, India²⁸, 62.80% among women in Malaysia²⁹, 37.10% among university students in Malaysia³⁰, 47.90% among women

in Sri Lanka³¹, 41.50% female academicians in Turkey³², 42.90% among health professionals in Turkey²⁰ and 39.00% among women in Taiwan³³. Nevertheless, these studies too indicate the need for the improvement of BSE uptake.

This study determined statistically significant associations between the variables: age, education level, employment status, regular medical check-ups, ever heard about BC, ever heard about BCS, ever heard about BSE, ever heard about CBE, ever heard about mammography screening, ever had a CBE done and ever had a Mammogram done with BSE uptake. These findings are in line with previous studies^{15-17, 25, 27} that also found a statistically significant association with educational level and BSE uptake. Likewise, studies have also found the variables age^{10, 15, 16, 27, 32} and ever heard about BSE³⁴ to be associated with BSE uptake. Additionally, parallel to our findings,

previous studies^{17, 25, 32} found no significant relationship between family history of BC and BSE uptake. Contrary to our findings, however, some studies found family history of BC^{26, 27, 35, 36}, marital status^{10, 16, 34, 37} and trained by a doctor to do BSE¹⁰ to have significant associations with the BSE performance.

In the present study, a significant association was found between women's knowledge on BC and BCS with BSE uptake. This finding agrees with the previous studies^{17, 22, 26, 27, 34, 36, 38}. Concerning the women's beliefs on BC and

BCS, a significant difference was observed in this study for the subdimensions: benefits of BSE, barriers to BSE, self-efficacy, and health motivation. Comparable to our findings, other studies have also found significant associations between perceived benefits of BSE^{17, 20-23, 25, 39}, perceived barriers to BSE^{20, 22, 25, 34}, self-efficacy^{17, 20, 21, 23, 25, 34, 39} and health motivation^{20, 22, 25, 34} with BSE uptake. However, in contrast to our findings, some studies also observed statistically significant associations between the severity of BC^{17, 20} and susceptibility of BC²⁰ with BSE uptake.

Table 4: Logistic Regression Analysis for Predicting Likelihood of BSE Uptake

Variables	B	S.E.	Wald	p-value	OR	95% CI
Socio-demographic, health history, and BC and BCS information						
Age	-0.017	0.011	2.362	0.124	0.984	0.963-1.005
Educational level	0.453	0.261	3.019	0.082	1.573	0.944-2.621
Employment status	-0.026	0.246	0.011	0.915	0.974	0.602-1.577
Regular medical check-up	0.172	0.243	0.499	0.480	1.188	0.737-1.914
Ever heard about BC	0.727	0.331	4.824	0.028*	2.069	1.081-3.959
Ever heard about BCS	-0.197	0.291	0.458	0.498	0.821	0.465-1.452
Ever heard about BSE	0.851	0.290	8.598	0.003*	2.342	1.326-4.137
Ever heard about CBE	0.666	0.283	5.526	0.019*	1.946	1.117-3.390
Ever heard about mammography screening	-0.223	0.257	0.756	0.385	0.800	0.484-1.323
Knowledge on BC and BCS						
Symptoms of BC	0.072	0.055	1.760	0.185	1.075	0.966-1.196
Risk factors of BC	0.014	0.048	0.079	0.778	1.014	0.922-1.115
Early detection of BC	0.074	0.032	5.247	0.022*	1.077	1.011-1.148
Beliefs on BC and BCS (CHBMS)						
Susceptibility to BC	-0.027	0.033	0.665	0.415	0.973	0.912-1.039
Severity of BC	0.060	0.025	5.513	0.019*	1.062	1.010-1.116
Benefits of BSE	0.005	0.039	0.018	0.893	1.005	0.932-1.085
Barriers towards BSE	-0.105	0.032	10.945	0.001*	0.900	0.846-0.958
Self-efficacy (Confidence)	0.106	0.017	39.567	<0.001*	1.112	1.076-1.150
Cues to Action (Health motivation)	-0.024	0.041	0.347	0.556	0.976	0.900-1.058

Chi-square for Omnibus test is 252.955, with significance level of <0.001, df= 18, Nagelkerke $R^2=0.459$, Cox & Snell $R^2=0.344$. The Chi-square value for the Hosmer-Lemeshow Goodness of Fit test is 7.201, with a significance level of 0.515. Bold*: Significance at level p-value<0.05.

The regression model indicated that as women's awareness and knowledge on BC and early detection of BC increased, so did their performance of BSE. In addition, as HBM suggests, BSE uptake levels were increased with the increase in women's perceptions that BC is a serious disease with severe consequences, as well as with the increase in women's confidence to perform BSE. Moreover, when women perceive fewer barriers in relation to the required preventive action, their BSE performance increased. This finding is also in agreement with findings of previous studies^{10, 17, 22, 26, 30, 34, 40, 41} that found the participant's knowledge on BCS as a significant predictor for BSE uptake. In the same way, perceived self-efficacy^{15, 17, 21-23, 32,}

^{34, 38}, perceived severity of BC¹⁷, perceived barriers^{15, 22, 23, 34, 38} and having heard about BSE^{34, 42} was found as significant predictors for BSE uptake in previous studies. However, previous studies also determined age¹⁵, educational level¹⁷, married^{34, 40}, attending CBE⁴⁰, employed²², family history of BC^{22, 26, 30}, susceptibility to BC³², health motivation^{32, 34}, benefits of BSE^{17, 21, 32} and skills taught by a doctor¹⁰ as significant predictors for BSE uptake.

Nevertheless, there were some limitations to this study. Firstly, the study findings could not be generalized to all Maldivian women, as it was limited only to the population of the Male' region. Secondly, the BSE uptake was based on

self-reported responses, which may lead to overestimation or underestimation of the screening uptake.

CONCLUSIONS

Early detection of BC is crucial to reduce the morbidity and mortality from the disease. This study determined significant predictors for BSE uptake among Maldivian women in the Male' region. The findings indicated that there is a pressing need for continued education and behaviour modification intervention programs using theories and models to increase women's overall knowledge and awareness of BC and BCS and to modify the long-held incorrect cultural beliefs and misconceptions. In particular, the relevant authorities should play a proactive role in raising awareness of BC and BCS. Multiple effective strategies are needed for the management of the disease and to promote regular screening among Maldivian women.

Acknowledgements

The authors would kindly like to acknowledge and thank all women who participated in the study and all those who kindly assisted and supported in conducting the research.

Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest in this work.

Funding

This study was self-funded.

Authors' contributions

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Momenimovahed Z, Salehiniya H. Epidemiological characteristics of and risk factors for breast cancer in the world. *Breast Cancer* (Dove Med Press). 2019;11:151-64.
2. Gupta A, Shridhar K, Dhillon PK. A review of breast cancer awareness among women in India: Cancer literate or awareness deficit? *European Journal of Cancer*. 2015;51(14):2058-66.
3. WHO. *Cancer Country Profiles*. 2014.
4. GLOBOCAN. Maldives, Cancer statistics. 2018.
5. Subramanian P, Oranye NO, Masri AM, Taib NA, Ahmad N. Breast cancer knowledge and screening behaviour among women with a positive family history: a cross sectional study. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2013;14(11):6783-90.
6. Todd A, Stuifbergen A. Breast Cancer Screening Barriers and Disability. *Rehabilitation nursing : the official journal of the Association of Rehabilitation Nurses*. 2012;37(2):74-9.
7. WHO. Breast cancer: Prevention and control 2019. Available from: <https://www.who.int/cancer/detection/breastcancer/en/index1.html>.
8. Ghoncheh M, Mohammadian-Hafshejani A, Salehiniya H. Incidence and Mortality of Breast Cancer and their Relationship to Development in Asia2015. 6081-7 p.
9. Yilmaz D, Bebis H, Ortabag T. Determining the awareness of and compliance with breast cancer screening among Turkish residential women. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2013;14(5):3281-8.
10. Akhtari-Zavare M, Latiff LA, Juni MH, Said SM, Ismail IZ. Knowledge of Female Undergraduate Students on Breast Cancer and Breast Self-examination in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2015;16(15):6231-5.
11. Shrestha Pradhan S, Shrestha, R., Parajuli, P., Khagi, R., & Bhandari, B. . Knowledge, Attitude and Practice Regarding Breast Self Examination Among Female Health Personnel. *Journal of Kathmandu Medical College*. *Journal of Kathmandu Medical College*. 2018;Vol. 6, No. 4(22):156-60.
12. RamBihariLal Shrivastava S, Saurabh Shrivastava P, Ramasamy J. Self Breast Examination: A Tool for Early Diagnosis of Breast Cancer2013. 135-9 p.
13. Alomair AN, Felemban DG, Felemban MS, Awadain JA, Altowairqi AS, Alfawzan NF, et al. Knowledge, attitude, and practice of breast self-examination toward breast cancer among female students at King Saud University in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Medicine in*

- Developing Countries. 2020;4(2):429-34.
14. Kirag N, Kızılkaya M. Application of the Champion Health Belief Model to determine beliefs and behaviors of Turkish women academicians regarding breast cancer screening: A cross sectional descriptive study. BMC women's health. 2019;19(1):132.
15. Farzaneh E, Heydari H, Shekarchi AA, Kamran A. Breast and cervical cancer-screening uptake among females in Ardabil, northwest Iran: a community-based study. OncoTargets and therapy. 2017;10:985-92.
16. Malik R, Vera N, Dayal C, Choudhari A, Mudaliar J, Noovao Hill A, et al. Factors associated with breast cancer awareness and breast self-examination in Fiji and Kashmir India - a cross-sectional study. BMC Cancer. 2020;20(1):1078.
17. Didarloo A, Nabilou B, Khalkhali HR. Psychosocial predictors of breast self-examination behavior among female students: an application of the health belief model using logistic regression. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):861.
18. Donnelly TT, Khater AH, Al-Bader SB, Al Kuwari MG, Malik M, Al-Meer N, et al. Factors that influence awareness of breast cancer screening among Arab women in Qatar: results from a cross sectional survey. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP. 2014;15(23):10157-64.
19. Parsa P, Kandiah M, Parsa N. Factors associated with breast self-examination among Malaysian women teachers. Eastern Mediterranean health journal = La revue de sante de la Mediterranee orientale = al-Majallah al-sihhiyah li-sharq al-mutawassit. 2011;17(6):509-16.
20. Yılmaz M, Durmuş T. Health Beliefs and Breast Cancer Screening Behavior among a Group of Female Health Professionals in Turkey. The Journal of Breast Health. 2016;12(1):18-24.
21. Darvishpour A, Vajari SM, Noroozi S. Can Health Belief Model Predict Breast Cancer Screening Behaviors? Open access Macedonian journal of medical sciences. 2018;6(5):949-53.
22. Abolfotouh MA, BaniMustafa AA, Mahfouz AA, Al-Assiri MH, Al-Juhani AF, Alaskar AS. Using the health belief model to predict breast self examination among Saudi women. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:1163.
23. Fouladi N, Pourfarzi F, Mazaheri E, Asl HA, Rezaie M, Amani F, et al. Beliefs and behaviors of breast cancer screening in women referring to health care centers in northwest Iran according to the champion health belief model scale. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP. 2013;14(11):6857-62.
24. Parsa P, Kandiah M, Mohd Nasir MT, Hejar AR, Nor Afiah MZ. Reliability and validity of Champion's Health Belief Model Scale for breast cancer screening among Malaysian women. Singapore medical journal. 2008;49(11):897-903.
25. Ertem G, Dönmez YC, Dolgun E. Determination of the Health Belief and Attitude of Women Regarding Breast Cancer and Breast Self-Exam. J Breast Health. 2017;13(2):62-6.
26. Ossai E, Azuogu B, Ogaranya I, Ogenyi A, Enemor D, Nwafor M. Predictors of practice of breast self-examination: A study among female undergraduates of Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice. 2019;22(3):361-9.
27. Veena KS, Kollipaka R, Rekha R. The Knowledge and attitude of breast self examination and mammography among rural women. International Journal of Reproduction, Contraception, Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2015;4(5):1511-6.
28. Dahiya N, Basu S, Singh MC, Garg S, Kumar R, Kohli C. Knowledge and Practices Related to Screening for Breast Cancer among Women in Delhi, India. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP. 2018;19(1):155-9.
29. Nik Farid ND, Abdul Aziz N, Al-Sadat N, Jamaludin M, Dahlui M. Clinical Breast Examination As the Recommended Breast Cancer Screening Modality in a Rural Community in Malaysia; What Are the Factors That Could Enhance Its Uptake? PloS one. 2014;9(9):e106469.

30. Hassan MR, Ghazi HF, AS M, Jasmin SJ. Knowledge and practice of breast self-examination among female non-medical students in Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM) in Bangi. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*. 2017;17(1):51-8.
31. Nilaweera RI, Perera S, Paranagama N, Anushyanthan AS. Knowledge and practices on breast and cervical cancer screening methods among female health care workers: a Sri Lankan experience. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2012;13(4):1193-6.
32. Kirag N, Kızılkaya M. Application of the Champion Health Belief Model to determine beliefs and behaviors of Turkish women academicians regarding breast cancer screening: A cross sectional descriptive study. *BMC women's health*. 2019;19(1):132.
33. Wu TY, Chung S, Yeh MC, Chang SC, Hsieh HF, Ha SJ. Understanding breast cancer screening practices in Taiwan: a country with universal health care. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2012;13(9):4289-94.
34. Mekuria M, Nigusse A, Tadele A. Breast Self-Examination Practice and Associated Factors Among Secondary School Female Teachers in Gammo Gofa Zone, Southern, Ethiopia. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press)*. 2020;12:1-10.
35. Al-Naggar RA, Bobryshev YV. Practice and barriers of mammography among Malaysian women in the general population. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2012;13(8):3595-600.
36. Getu MA, Kassaw MW, Tlaye KG, Gebrekristos AF. Assessment of breast self-examination practice and its associated factors among female undergraduate students in Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2016. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press)*. 2018;11:21-8.
37. Akhtari-Zavare M, Ghanbari-Baghestan A, Latiff LA, Matinnia N, Hoseini M. Knowledge of breast cancer and breast self-examination practice among Iranian women in Hamedan, Iran. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2014;15(16):6531-4.
38. Guilford K, McKinley E, Turner L. Breast Cancer Knowledge, Beliefs, and Screening Behaviors of College Women: Application of the Health Belief Model. *American Journal of Health Education*. 2017;48(4):256-63.
39. Shiryazdi SM, Kholasehzadeh G, Neamatzadeh H, Kargar S. Health Beliefs and Breast Cancer Screening Behaviors among Iranian Female Health Workers. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2014;15:9817-22.
40. Dahlui M, Gan DE, Taib NA, Pritam R, Lim J. Predictors of breast cancer screening uptake: a pre intervention community survey in Malaysia. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2012;13(7):3443-9.
41. Rakkapao N, Promthet S, Moore MA, Solikhah S, Hurst C. Assessing Breast Cancer Awareness in Thai Women: Validation of the Breast Cancer Awareness Scale (B-CAS). *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention : APJCP*. 2017;18(4):995-1005.
42. Albeshan SM, Hossain SZ, Mackey MG, Naser Osmani SS, Brennan PC. Understanding better the knowledge, beliefs, and attitudes toward breast cancer and breast screening practices among women living in Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates (UAE). *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Public Health*. 2017; 3(2):208-22.