### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

# Dengue: where are we today?

### Maria Guadalupe Guzman, Susana Vázquez, Gustavo Kouri

Department of Virology, PAHO/WHO Collaborating Center for the Study of Dengue and its Vector, "Pedro Kouri" Tropical Medicine Institute of Havana, Cuba

Submitted: 29 May 2009 Accepted: 17 August 2009

#### **Abstract**

Dengue is considered the main arthropod-borne viral disease of humans. In the last few years, an increasing number of reports of mild and severe cases have been reported. The growing dengue incidence observed in recent years has been accompanied by reports of new observations, findings and global initiatives with an improvement in our understanding of this phenomenon. The epidemiology and new clinical classification of dengue, advances in the diagnostic and pathogenesis knowledge, and vaccine development as well as control methods including new global initiatives are summarised here.

**Keywords:** Dengue, review, management, medical sciences

#### Introduction

Dengue has been re-emerging in the last decades, with an estimated 50-100 million people infected annually. More than 2.5 billion people live in geographic areas where the infection is endemic, and more than 100 countries are at risk of dengue transmission (1-3). The infection is caused by any of the dengue viruses (DENV-1 to 4), an RNA virus classified as a flavivirus of the family Flaviviridae. The virus is transmitted to humans by the bite of Aedes mosquitoes. Aedes aegypti is the principal vector, although Aedes albopictus is also important in some settings (4,5). Clinical manifestations of the illness varies from asymptomatic infection, observed in most of infected individuals, to the mild illness named Dengue Fever (DF) and to the severe form of the disease called dengue haemorrhagic fever with or without dengue shock syndrome (DHF/DSS) (6). The increase in dengue incidence observed in recent years has been accompanied by reports of new observations, findings and global initiatives with an improvement in our understanding of this phenomenon. Here dengue situation is updated

### Current dengue epidemiological situation

The vector and virus expansions throughout  $tropical \, and \, subtropical \, are as \, around \, the \, world \, have \,$ been favoured by global unplanned urbanisation, population growth, international travel, abundance of non biodegradable containers and basically poor living conditions. Today, more than 70% of dengue cases occur in Asia and the Pacific, followed by the Americas, Africa and the Middle East. While both DF and DHF/DSS were widely recognised in Asia and the Pacific in the 1960s and the 1970s, the expansion to the Americas in the 1980s and 1990s and more recently to the Middle East and Africa has been observed (7), with reports of the four viruses in circulation in endemic areas. A recent report suggests that half of the world's population is at risk of dengue infection (1-3).

The expansion of dengue has been accompanied by the report of epidemics in virgin populations such as the Galapagos Islands and the Easter Islands. An increasing number of epidemics involve more than one viral serotype, and greater dengue activity with epidemics is occurring at shorter intervals (from five to two or three years); there have also been numerous reports of dengue illness in travellers (8,9). The American region has seen a dramatic dengue increase in the last 30 years, with an increasing number of DHF cases,

from 60–80 cases before 1981 to more than 38,000 in 2008. In addition, the co-circulation of several serotypes, the report of over 1 million cases in 2008 worldwide and dengue transmission occurring in over 30 countries reflect the seriousness of the problem (6).

In this context, climate change is expected to worsen the dengue epidemiological situation. The temporal and spatial changes in temperature, precipitation and humidity will affect the biology and ecology of the vectors and consequently the risk of virus transmission. It is estimated that, with the expected temperature increase of 2°C, the mean potential of transmission will rise. If water temperatures climb, the mosquito larvae take a shorter time to mature, and consequently there is a greater capacity to produce more offspring during the transmission period. In warmer conditions, mosquitoes digest blood faster and feed more frequently, thereby increasing dengue transmission. In addition, the extrinsic period of the virus within the vector could be shorter and therefore increase the proportion of infected mosquitoes (10-12).

Two important analyses related to dengue are the estimation of the global epidemiological and economic burden of the illness. Although some studies have been performed, these topics remain priorities of research. The global burden has been recently estimated in DALY (disability adjusted life years) to be 264 DALYs per million people per year for the two billion people living worldwide in areas at risk for dengue. Another study estimated a loss of 420 DALYs per million people per year, which is comparable to the burden of meningitis, twice the burden of hepatitis and one-third of the burden of HIV/AIDS (13). However, few studies focus on the cost of dengue. Since there is no uniform methodology applied, cost variation is observed among reports. Cost per case has been estimated to be 109.16 USD (Thailand 1994), 61.00 USD (Thailand 2005), 125.00 USD (Puerto Rico) and 299.00 USD (Cuba 1981) (14,15).

Of interest is the report of possible dengue transmission associated with blood transfusion. Recently, Mohammed et al. found that 1 in 1000 blood donations in Puerto Rico contained DENV RNA. On the other hand, Linnen et al. found variable evidence of dengue viraemia among asymptomatic blood donors (from 0.30% in Honduras to 0.04% in Brazil). These new findings raise concerns regarding transfusion-transmitted DENV (16,17).

### Clinical illness

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) classification, the presence of fever, bleeding, thrombocytopenia (<100,000/mm³) and haemoconcentration (including pleural effusion, ascitis etc) allows the classification of a patient as DHF/DSS (18). The increasing number of patients, variation in clinical manifestation and the extension of the transmission to new areas of the world have been accompanied by difficulties in the application of this WHO classification (19,20). Significant numbers of severe dengue patients do not meet all of the criteria outlined by the WHO.

Not surprisingly, there is a wide request for a classification that is useful for the management of the acute case. Under the leadership of the TDR/WHO and as part of a multi-centre study, the WHO classification was recently reviewed. As a consequence of this study, a new clinical classification was proposed for validation in several countries of the American and Asian regions. Accordingly, cases will be classified as dengue or severe dengue. The presence of warning signs, such as persistent vomiting and intense abdominal pain, in non-severe cases will alert clinicians about a bad prognosis. Severe dengue includes not only the former DHF/DSS cases but also patients with severe plasma leakage, severe bleeding and severe organ impairment. In recent years, an increasing number of unusual manifestations of dengue such as neurological disorders and myocarditis have been reported. The new classification also considers these aspects of dengue (21). In the Bolivian dengue epidemic of 2009, the new proposed classification was applied with very good acceptance by clinicians and epidemiologists (Martinez E. and Castro O., personal communication). Interestingly, in 1981 a similar dengue classification had been successfully applied in Cuba, during the first DHF/ DSS epidemic in the American region (22,23). It is expected that once this new classification is validated, a favourable impact on mortality and better case management will be observed.

# Diagnosis

Dengue diagnosis is based on the isolation of the virus, the detection of the viral antigen or RNA in serum or tissues or the detection of specific antibodies in the patient's serum. Virus isolation (mainly from mosquito cell lines such as *A. albopictus*), the identification by immunofluorescence assay using specific dengue monoclonal antibodies and the genomic detection by RT-PCR or real-time RT-PCR confirm the

infection (24,25). Serum is the sample of choice for dengue diagnosis, although tissue samples (liver, spleen, lymphatic nodes, etc.) in fatal cases can be employed for virus isolation and genomic (RT-PCR) or antigen (immunohistochemistry) detection (26-28). Serum samples collected in the first five days of fever are useful for virus detection.

IgM detection by ELISA in samples collected after day 5 of illness is routinely used for dengue diagnosis. IgG seroconversion or a fourfold increase in paired serum samples is also among the criteria for dengue diagnosis (29,30). One of the current research priorities is the evaluation of diagnostic assays and commercial kits. Recently, TDR and the Pediatric Dengue Vaccine Initiative (PDVI) identified a network of laboratories in Latin America and Asia to perform diagnostic evaluations. As part of this initiative, nine IgM commercial kits based on ELISA and rapid test formats were evaluated. Good sensitivity (95–99%) and specificity (79.9-86.6%) were demonstrated in three of them (the PanBio, Standard Diagnostic and Focus ELISA tests) (31).

There is still a need for early dengue diagnosis. During viral replication, NS1 non-structural protein is secreted in the blood, appearing as early as day 1 of fever and declining after days 6-7. Considering the characteristics of the protein, several studies focus on NS1 detection as an early marker of dengue infection (32,33). Sensitivity ranges of 60.4-87.4 % and specificity ranges of 97.9-100% have been found using ELISA and rapid tests. The highest percentage of NS1-positive samples has been observed in individuals with a primary dengue infection. The presence of anti-dengue immune complexes could be an explanation of the lower sensitivity observed in samples collected from individuals with a secondary infection (33). As part of the multicentre DENCO project conducted by TDR, NS1 detection was evaluated in serum samples collected from confirmed dengue cases during the acute phase of illness. Preliminary results support the usefulness of this marker for early dengue diagnosis, but, much more importantly, these results suggest the need for a new dengue diagnostic algorithm where NS1 and IgM detection complement each other to achieve higher sensitivity.

The evaluation of available RT-PCR and real time RT-PCR protocols, the development of a single test combining antigen and antibody detection and new diagnostic tools combining high sensitivity and specificity, low cost, simplicity and, ideally, high prognostic capacity for disease severity are still priorities for dengue diagnosis (24,34).

# **Pathogenesis**

Two exclusive hypotheses, the secondary infection by a different dengue serotype and the viral virulence, were proposed early on to explain DHF/DSS (35,36). Observations in the last 50 years support an integrated view of the problem, since the secondary infection is needed for severity (37,38). Age (a higher risk is observed in children), chronic diseases such as bronchial asthma, diabetes mellitus and sickle cell anaemia, ethnicity (a higher risk is observed in whites compared to blacks) and genetic factors (26,38-42) have been reported as the main host risk factors for DHF/DSS. In this context, the virus serotype, the sequence of infecting viruses and the virus genotype are also of importance. Genotypes of DENV-2 and 3 from Asia have been associated with DHF epidemics (43-46). The report of quasi-species and recombinant viruses adds more complexity to the problem and demonstrates the genetic diversity of dengue viruses (47-49).

The increase in vascular permeability (clinically expressed as haemoconcentration, pleural effusion, ascites, and cardiovascular hypotension after fever deffervescence) characterises the severe syndrome. Molecular mechanisms involved in this syndrome are not well understood. Severe dengue has been associated with a second heterotypic dengue infection even after a long interval after primary infection (50,51). Although several seroepidemiological studies support this observation (52), probably the "unique" epidemiological Cuban dengue situation best exemplifies the important role of the secondary infection as a risk factor for severity. In three dengue epidemics (DENV-2 in 1981 and 1997, and DENV-3 in 2001), severe cases occurred in individuals previously infected by DENV-1 in the 1977 epidemic who then had a second infection (53-56). Children suffering their primary infection during the 1997 and 2001 epidemics developed only a mild disease.

The phenomenon of antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE), whereby dengue antibodies at sub-neutralising concentrations enhance DENV infections in Fc receptor-bearing cells, was first proposed to offer a unifying basis to explain clinical, serological and epidemiological observations (36). After an initial period of cross-protection, cross-reactive antibodies waned to non-neutralising levels. These non-neutralising antibodies could mediate an increased uptake of viral particles through virus-antibody complexes, leading to increased viral replication and immune activation accompanied by cytokine release (57). Cytokines may play a direct role on the immunopathogenesis

of dengue. Their proinflammatory effects on vascular endothelial cells could lead to leaky junction and, consequently, to the increase of vascular permeability. Of interest is the association of higher viraemia to severe disease supported by several clinical studies (58–61). A complementary hypothesis explaining DHF/DSS involves the reactivation of cross-reactive memory T cells specific for the previous infecting virus resulting in a delayed viral clearance and an increase of cytokine production (62,63). A "tsunami of cytokines and chemical mediators" released from T cells, monocytes and endothelial cells has been associated with severe illness, with high levels of IL-10, TNF-alpha, IL-8, IL-12, IFN-y and other cytokines found in the sera of patients (57,64-67).

Activation of complement is also involved in DHF pathogenesis since high levels of circulating C3a and C5a are observed in the plasma of severe patients. Although the mechanism of complement activation is not well known, it is assumed that complement is activated by the circulating immune complexes reported in patients. High levels of secreted NS1 and pre-existing cross reactive antibodies may mediate complement activation. Furthermore, infected monocytes and endothelial cells could activate complement via classical and alternative pathways (57,68,69).

Although the high cytokine production as a consequence of ADE and T cell activation could explain the vascular endothelial leakage and the increased capillary permeability observed during DHF/DSS, severe disease reported in infants with dengue-immune mothers cannot be explained by T cell involvement when infants suffer their primary dengue infection (70,71). Relatively recently, an autoimmune mechanism has been proposed. Some studies suggest that anti-NS1 antibodies cross react with platelets and endothelial cells, resulting in endothelial dysfunction and cytokine and complement activation (72,73).

Thrombocytopenia and bleeding also accompany the severe illness; however, the mechanisms involved are not well defined. Early bone-marrow suppression with peripheral platelet destruction has been postulated to explain the former (50). Recent studies support the key role of innate immunity in determining disease outcome. High levels of IFN- $\alpha$  and IFN- $\gamma$  in response to DENV infection have been suggested to be associated with a protective host response. In addition, high levels of NK cells and activated NK have been related to mild illness (68,74).

A better understanding of dengue pathogenesis is needed for implications in drug and vaccine development. In particular, research on the innate and adaptive immune response in vivo and the molecular mechanisms associated with plasma leakage and bleeding (67,75) is important.

# Vaccine development

The development of a safe and effective dengue vaccine is one of the public health priorities defined by the WHO (76). The development of a dengue vaccine involves several complexities such as the need to develop a vaccine against all four viruses, to avoid the ADE phenomenon, the poor understanding of the protective dengue immunity and disease pathogenesis and the lack of an animal model for vaccine evaluation. However, significant advancements have been observed in the last ten years. Currently, several vaccine candidates are in phase I and II clinical studies, and others are in advanced preclinical phase.

The main applied strategies include the traditionally and molecularly attenuated vaccines, chimeric live virus vaccines and DNA and recombinant subunit vaccines (77). The main concerns for live vaccines include the potential risk of enhanced illness after vaccination (if an adequate immune response to the four viruses is not simultaneously achieved) and the enhanced vaccine reactogenicity in persons with pre-existing anti-flavivirus antibody. On the other hand, subunit vaccines will probably require booster immunisations to maintain high levels of immunity.

The dengue vaccine pipeline appears to be sufficiently advanced (76). In preparation are population-based efficacy trials in exposed populations both in Asia and the Americas as the vaccine should be evaluated under different patterns of dengue transmission and circulating dengue viruses. Vaccine developers and the PDVI are working together to establish field sites for vaccine evaluation. Although protective immunity against dengue viruses is not completely defined, it is accepted that neutralising antibodies play an important role in protection against the viral infection. However, the role of the cellular immune response in the protection and recovery is not well known. Limited information is available to correlate immune response with disease outcome, so the definition of the correlates of protection is still a priority of research (57,77,78). As no animal model is available, it is urgent to define correlates of protection to allow the establishment of the efficacy of a vaccine candidate.

### Control

Vector control is the only available method to control epidemics and prevent transmission, but a range of control strategies is needed to face the varying situations. However, until now, sustainability is the main problem. It is recommended that the application of integrated vector control strategies, including tools for reducing larvae and adult mosquito, be complemented with strong community and intersectoral participation.

At the end of the 1990's, the WHO established the Global Strategy for Dengue Prevention and Control (79), comprising five aspects: integrated vector control based on the community and participation, active intersectoral dengue surveillance, emergency preparedness, capacity building and vector control research. New tools for vector control include integrated vector management, the eco-health approach for dengue control and prevention (to improve community health) and the integrated management strategy for dengue prevention and control, Estrategia Gestion Integrada, (EGI)/Dengue, with the objective to achieve a sustainable national strategy allowing a functional integration of actions. Additional control strategies include the Communication of Behavioral Impact (COMBI), the application of geographic information systems (GIS) to epidemiological and entomological studies, and others. More research on the development and evaluation of vector control tools and strategies and surveillance and response is needed (80,81).

## **Conclusions**

Today, dengue is considered the most rapidly expanding arboviral disease in the tropics and subtropics and is now a serious public health concern. The re-emergence of Yellow fever, West Nile Fever and Chikungunya worsen the epidemiological situation (82). The last decade are marked by major advances and the implementation of several international initiatives (PDVI, The Innovative Vector Control Consortium, Asia-Pacific Dengue Partnership, DENFRAME and DENCO projects, others); however, more research is needed to improve the dengue situation (9).

Recognising the severity of this situation, the global dengue research agenda, discussed by the dengue expert group convened by the TDR/WHO at the end of 2006, provides a strategic plan for reducing dengue morbidity and mortality and its negative socioeconomic impact (Scientific Working Group. World Health Organization. Report on dengue

1-5 October 2006, Geneva, Switzerland. TDR/SWG/08) (21). It is expected that the integrated actions among countries based on the application of more advanced knowledge will positively impact dengue control and prevention.

## Correspondence

Prof. Maria G. Guzmán, "Pedro Kouri" Tropical Medicine Institute Autopista Novia del Mediodía Km 6, apdo 601, Marianao 13, Havana, Cuba Tel: +53-7-2020450

Fax: +53-7-2046051 Email: lupe@ipk.sld.cu

#### Author's contributions

All authors have contributed equally to drafting of the article and the critical revision.

#### References

- 1. Guzman MG, Kouri G. Dengue: an update. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2002;**2**:33–42.
- Kuno G. Research on dengue and dengue-like illness in East Asia and the Western Pacific during the First Half of the 20th century. Rev Med Virol. 2007;17:327–341.
- 3. Gubler DJ. Epidemic dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever as a public health, social and economic problem in the 21st century. *Trends Microbiol.* 2002;**10**:100–103.
- Vezzani D, Carbajo AE. Aedes aegypti, Aedes albopictus, and dengue in Argentina: current knowledge and future directions. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2008;103:66-74.
- Gratz NG. Critical review of the vector status of Aedes albopictus. Med Vet Entomol. 2004;18:215–227.
- Dengue and Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever in the Americas: Guidelines for Prevention and Control. New York: Pan American Health Organization, 1994; 548.
- Dengue in Africa: emergence of DENV-3, Cote d'Ivoire, 2008. Wkly Epidemiol Rec. 2009;84:85–88.
- 8. Wichmann O, Gascon J, Schunk M, Puente S, Siikamaki H, Gjorup I et al. Severe dengue virus infection in travelers: risk factors and laboratory indicators. *J Infect Dis.* 2007;**195**:1089–1096.
- Nathan MB, Dayal-Drager R. Recent epidemiological trends, the global strategy and public health advances in dengue. Report of the Scientific Working Group on Dengue, WHO TDR/SWG/08. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2006.
- Kellner AW. Global climate change and dengue. An Acad Bras Cienc. 2008;80:215.
- 11. Barclay E. Is climate change affecting dengue in the Americas? *Lancet*. 2008; **371**:973–934.
- 12. Hurtado-Diaz M, Riojas-Rodriguez H, Rothenberg SJ, Gomez-Dantes H, Cifuentes E. Short communication:

- impact of climate variability on the incidence of dengue in Mexico. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2007;**12**:1327–1337.
- Shepard DS, Suaya JA, Halstead SB, Nathan MB, Gubler DJ, Mahoney RT et al. Cost-effectiveness of a pediatric dengue vaccine. Vaccine. 2004; 22:1275– 1280.
- 14. Guzman MG, Triana C, Bravo J, Kouri G. The estimation of the economic damages caused as a consequence of the epidemic of hemorrhagic dengue in Cuba in 1981. *Rev Cubana Med Trop.* 1992;**44(1)**:13–17.
- Suaya JA, Shepard DS, Beatty ME. Dengue burden of disease and costs of illness. Report of the Scientific Working Group on Dengue, WHO TDR/SWG/08. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2006.
- Linnen JM, Vinelli E, Sabino EC, Tobler LH, Hyland C, Lee TH et al. Dengue viremia in blood donors from Honduras, Brazil, and Australia. *Transfusion*. 2008;48:1355–1362.
- 17. Mohammed H, Linnen JM, Munoz-Jordan JL, Tomashek K, Foster G, Broulik AS,et al. Dengue virus in blood donations, Puerto Rico, 2005. *Transfusion*. 2008;**48**:1348–1354.
- WHO. Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever. Diagnosis, treatment, prevention and control. Geneva: World Health Organization. 1997
- Rigau-Perez JG. Severe dengue: the need for new case definitions. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2006;6:297–302.
- Bandyopadhyay S, Lum LC, Kroeger A. Classifying dengue: a review of the difficulties in using the WHO case classification for dengue haemorrhagic fever. *Trop Med Int Health*. 2006;11:1238–1255.
- TDR/WHO. Report on Dengue. Report of the Scientific Working Group on Dengue, WHO TDR/SWG/08. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2006.
- 22. Kouri GP, Guzman MG, Bravo JR, Triana C. Dengue haemorrhagic fever/dengue shock syndrome: lessons from the Cuban epidemic, 1981. *Bull World Health Organ*. 1989;**67**:375–380.
- Diaz A, Kouri G, Guzman MG, Lobaina L, Bravo J, Ruiz et al. Description of the clinical picture of dengue hemorrhagic fever/dengue shock syndrome (DHF/DSS) in adults. *Bull Pan Am Health Organ*. 1988;22:133–144.
- 24. Guzman MG, Kouri G. Dengue diagnosis, advances and challenges. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2004;**8**:69–80.
- Teles FR, Prazeres DM, Lima-Filho JL. Trends in dengue diagnosis. Rev Med Virol. 2005;15(5):287– 302.
- 26. Limonta D, Gonzalez D, Capo V, Torres G, Perez AB, Rosario D et al. Fatal severe dengue and cell death in sickle cell disease during the 2001-2002 Havana dengue epidemic. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2009;**13**:e77–78.
- 27. Guzman MG, Alvarez M, Rodriguez R, Rosario D, Vazquez S, Valdes L et al. Fatal dengue hemorrhagic fever in Cuba, 1997. *Int J Infect Dis.* 1999;**3**:130–135.

- 28. Rodriguez-Roche R, Alvarez M, Guzman MG, Morier L, Kouri G. Comparison of rapid centrifugation assay with conventional tissue culture method for isolation of dengue 2 virus in C6/36-HT cells. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2000;38:3508–3510.
- Vazquez S, Perez AB, Ruiz D, Rodriguez R, Pupo M, Calzada N et al. Serological markers during Dengue 3 primary and secondary infections. *J. Clin. Virol.* 2005;33:132–137.
- 30. Vazquez S, Cabezas S, Perez AB, Pupo M, Ruiz D, Calzada N et al. Kinetics of antibodies in sera, saliva, and urine samples from adult patients with primary or secondary dengue 3 virus infections. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2007;11:256–262.
- 31. Hunsperger EA, Yoksan S, Buchy P, Nguyen VC, Sekaran SD, Enria DA et al. Evaluation of commercially available anti-dengue virus immunoglobulin M tests. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2009;**15**:436–440.
- 32. Dussart P, Petit L, Labeau B, Bremand L, Leduc A, Moua D et al. Evaluation of Two New Commercial Tests for the Diagnosis of Acute Dengue Virus Infection Using NS1 Antigen Detection in Human Serum. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2008;**2**:e280.
- 33. Hang VT, Nguyet NM, Trung DT, Tricou V, Yoksan S, Dung NM et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of NS1 ELISA and Lateral Flow Rapid Tests for Dengue Sensitivity, Specificity and Relationship to Viraemia and Antibody Responses. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2009;3:e360.
- 34. Buchy F, Yoksan S, Peeling RW, Hunsperger E. Laboratory tests for the diagnosis of dengue virus infection. WHO. TDR/SWG/08. 1-5 October, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2006.
- 35. Rosen L. The Emperor's New Clothes revisited, or reflections on the pathogenesis of dengue hemorrhagic fever. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1977;**26**:337–343.
- 36. Halstead SB. Observations related to pathogensis of dengue hemorrhagic fever. VI. Hypotheses and discussion. *Yale J Biol Med*. 1970;**42**:350–362.
- 37. Guzman MG, Kouri G. Dengue haemorrhagic fever integral hypothesis: confirming observations, 1987-2007. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2008;**102**:522–523.
- 38. Kouri GP, Guzman MG, Bravo JR. Why dengue haemorrhagic fever in Cuba? 2. An integral analysis. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1987;**81**:821–823.
- 39. Bravo JR, Guzman MG, Kouri GP. Why dengue haemorrhagic fever in Cuba? 1. Individual risk factors for dengue haemorrhagic fever/dengue shock syndrome (DHF/DSS). *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 1987;81:816–820.
- Guzman MG, Kouri G, Bravo J, Valdes L, Vazquez S, Halstead SB. Effect of age on outcome of secondary dengue 2 infections. Int J Infect Dis. 2002;6:118–124.
- Sierra BD, Garcia G, Perez AB, Morier L, Alvarez M, Kouri G et al. Ethnicity and Difference in Dengue Virus-Specific Memory T Cell Responses in Cuban Individuals. Viral Immunol. 2006;19:662–668.
- 42. Gonzalez D, Castro O, Perez J, Martinez E, Vazquez S, Rosario D et al. Classical dengue hemorrhagic fever

- resulting from two dengue infections spaced 20 years or more apart: Havana, Dengue 3 epidemic, 2001-2002. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2005;**9**:280–285.
- 43. Rico-Hesse R. Dengue virus evolution and virulence models. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2007;**44**:1462–1466.
- 44. Rodriguez-Roche R, Alvarez M, Gritsun T, Halstead S, Kouri G, Gould EA et al. Virus evolution during a severe dengue epidemic in Cuba, 1997. *Virology*. 2005;**334**:154–159.
- 45. Rodriguez Roche R, Alvarez M, Holmes EC, Bernardo L, Halstead S, Kourí G et al. Dengue virus type 3 in Cuba: Evolution from a Small Outbreak in 2000 to a Major Epidemic in 2001. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2005;11.
- 46. Guzman MG, Deubel V, Pelegrino JL, Rosario D, Marrero M, Sariol C et al. Partial nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the envelope and the envelope/nonstructural protein-1 gene junction of four dengue-2 virus strains isolated during the 1981 Cuban epidemic. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1995;**52**:241–246.
- 47. Holmes EC, Twiddy SS. The origin, emergence and evolutionary genetics of dengue virus. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2003;3:19–28.
- 48. Twiddy SS, Holmes EC. The extent of homologous recombination in members of the genus Flavivirus. *J Gen Virol*. 2003;**84**:429–440.
- 49. Chen SP, Yu M, Jiang T, Deng YQ, Qin CF, Han JF et al. Identification of a recombinant dengue virus type 1 with 3 recombination regions in natural populations in Guangdong province, China. *Arch Virol*. 2008;**153**:1175–1179.
- 50. Halstead SB. Dengue. *Lancet*. 2007;**370**:1644–1652.
- Guzman MG, Kouri G, Valdes L, Bravo J, Vazquez S, Halstead SB. Enhanced severity of secondary dengue-2 infections: death rates in 1981 and 1997 Cuban outbreaks. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2002;11:223– 227.
- 52. Burke DS, Nisalak A, Johnson DE, Scott RM. A prospective study of dengue infections in Bangkok. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1988;**38**:172–80.
- 53. Bravo Gonzalez JR, Guzman Tirado MG, Kouri Flores G. Retrospective sero-epidemiological survey of dengue virus in the town of Cerro. Methodology. *Rev Cubana Med Trop.* 1985;37:259–268.
- 54. Guzman MG, Kouri G, Valdes L, Bravo J, Alvarez M, Vazquez S et al. Epidemiologic studies on Dengue in Santiago de Cuba, 1997. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2000; **152**:793–799; discussion 804.
- 55. Alvarez M, Rodriguez-Roche R, Bernardo L, Vazquez S, Morier L, Gonzalez D et al. Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever Caused by Sequential Dengue 1-3 Virus Infections over a Long Time Interval: Havana Epidemic, 2001-2002. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2006;75:1113-1117.
- Guzman MG, Kouri G, Bravo J, Silva LC, Vazquez S. National serological survey of dengue virus. Cuba, 1982. Rev Cubana Med Trop. 1984;36:124–131.
- 57. Kurane I. Dengue hemorrhagic fever with special emphasis on immunopathogenesis. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2007; **30**:329–340.

- 58. Libraty DH, Young PR, Pickering D, Endy TP, Kalayanarooj S, Green S et al. High circulating levels of the dengue virus nonstructural protein NS1 early in dengue illness correlate with the development of dengue hemorrhagic fever. *J Infect Dis.* 2002;**186**:1165–1168.
- 59. Libraty DH, Endy TP, Houng HSH, Green S, Kalayanarooj S, Suntayakorn S et al. Differing influences of virus burden and immune activation on disease severity in secondary dengue-3 virus infections. *J Infect Dis.* 2002;**185**:1213–1221.
- 60. Vaughn DW, Green S, Kalayanarooj S, Innis BL, Nimmannitya S, Suntayakorn S et al. Dengue viremia titer, antibody response pattern, and virus serotype correlate with disease severity. *J Infect Dis.* 2000;**181**:2–9.
- 61. Wang WK, Chao DY, Kao CL, Wu HC, Liu YC, Li CM et al. High levels of plasma dengue viral load during defervescence in patients with dengue hemorrhagic fever: implications for pathogenesis. *Virology*. 2003;305:330–338.
- 62. Rothman AL. Dengue: defining protective versus pathologic immunity. *J Clin Invest*. 2004;**113**:946–951.
- 63. Mongkolsapaya J, Duangchinda T, Dejnirattisai W, Vasanawathana S, Avirutnan P, Jairungsri A et al. T cell responses in dengue hemorrhagic Fever: are cross-reactive T cells suboptimal? *J Immunol*. 2006;176:3821–3829.
- 64. Rothman AL. Immunology and immunopathogenesis of dengue disease. *Adv Virus Res.* 2003;**60**:397–419.
- 65. Pang T, Cardosa MJ, Guzman MG. Of cascades and perfect storms: the immunopathogenesis of dengue haemorrhagic fever-dengue shock syndrome (DHF/ DSS). *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2007;85:43–45.
- Basu A, Chaturvedi UC. Vascular endothelium: the battlefield of dengue viruses. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2008;53:287–299.
- 67. Mathew A, Rothman A. Understanding the contribution of cellular immunity to dengue disease patogénesis. *Immunological Rev.* 2008;**225**:300–313.
- Clyde K, Kyle JL, Harris E. Recent advances in deciphering viral and host determinants of dengue virus replication and pathogenesis. *J Virol*. 2006;80(23):1418–1431.
- Halstead SB, Suaya JA, Shepard DS. The burden of dengue infection. *Lancet*. 2007; 369:1410–1411.
- Halstead SB, Lan NT, Myint TT, Shwe TN, Nisalak A, Kalyanarooj S. Dengue hemorrhagic fever in infants: research opportunities ignored. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2002;8:1474–1479.
- 71. Simmons CP, Chau TN, Thuy TT, Tuan NM, Hoang DM, Thien NT et al. Maternal antibody and viral factors in the pathogenesis of dengue virus in infants. *J Infect Dis.* 2007;**196**:416–424.
- Avirutnan P, Punyadee N, Noisakran S, Komoltri C, Thiemmeca S, Auethavornanan K et al. Vascular leakage in severe dengue virus infections: a potential role for the nonstructural viral protein NS1 and complement. *J Infect Dis.* 2006;193:1078–1088.

- 73. Lin CF, Wan SW, Cheng HJ, Lei HY, Lin YS. Autoimmune pathogenesis in dengue virus infection. *Viral Immunol.* 2006;**19**:127–132.
- 74. Azeredo EL, De Oliveira-Pinto LM, Zagne SM, Cerqueira DI, Nogueira RM, Kubelka CF. NK cells, displaying early activation, cytotoxicity and adhesion molecules, are associated with mild dengue disease. *Clin Exp Immunol.* 2006;143:345–356.
- 75. Simmons CP, Halstead SB, Rothman A, Harris E, Screaton G, Rico Hesse R et al. Understanding pathogenesis, immune response and viral factors. WHO. TDR/SWG/08. 1-5 October, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2006.
- Hombach J. Vaccines against dengue: a review of current candidate vaccines at advanced development stages. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2007;21:254–260.
- 77. Barrett AD, Hombach J. Opportunities in the development of dengue vaccines. WHO. TDR/SWG/08. 1-5 October, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. 2006.

- Hatch S, Mathew A, Rothman A. Dengue vaccine: opportunities and challenges. *IDrugs*. 2008;11(1):42–45.
- 79. WHO. Strengthening implementation of the global strategy for dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever prevention and control. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 1999.
- San Martin JL, Brathwaite-Dick O. Delivery issues related to vector contrl operations: a special focus on the Americas. WHO. TDR/SWG/08. 1-5 October, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.
- 81. Erlanger TE, Keiser J, Itzinger J. Effect of dengue vector control interventions on entomological parameters in developing countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Vet Entomol.* 2008;22:203–221.
- 82. Renault P, Solet JL, Sissoko D, Balleydier E, Larricu S, Filleul L. A major epidemic of chikungunya virus infection in Reunion Island, France, 2005-2006. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2007;77:727–731.