Relationship of Diabetic Retinopathy with Ankle Brachial Index and Microalbuminuria in Type 2 Diabetics

Emerson Jay B. Molina, MD¹, Ronald A. Yutangco, MD¹, Maria Angela S. Cruz-Anacleto, MD², Jennifer Doria-Del Castillo, MD², Patricia J. Aguinod-Cheng, MD²

¹ Department of Ophthalmology

Cardinal Santos Medical Center, San Juan, Metro Manila, Philippines

² Cardiovascular Institute

Cardinal Santos Medical Center, San Juan, Metro Manila, Philippines

Correspondence: Emerson Jay B. Molina, MD Department of Ophthalmology Cardinal Santos Medical Center

Wilson St. Greenhills, San Juan City, Metro Manila, Philippines

Email: ejm_mailbox@yahoo.com

Disclosure: No financial assistance was received for this study. The authors have no financial interest in any product used or cited in this study.

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study investigated the relationship of diabetic retinopathy with ankle/brachial (ABI) scores and the presence of microalbuminuria in type 2 diabetic patients.

Methods: A prospective cross-sectional study of type 2 diabetic patients, aged 40-85 years, were recruited from the outpatient department of a tertiary hospital. Ankle/brachial index scores and the presence of microalbuminuria were determined. The presence and stage of diabetic retinopathy were assessed through contact lens biomicroscopy.

Results: There were 49 patients who participated in the study. Significant associations between severe diabetic retinopathy and abnormal ABI scores (p = 0.01) and the presence of microalbuminuria (p=0.01) were found.

Conclusion: Patients with severe diabetic retinopathy were more likely to have abnormal ankle/brachial index scores and microalbuminuria. Thus, those presenting with severe diabetic retinopathy were not only at risk of losing their sight but also at higher risk of developing life-threatening systemic vascular and renal complications of diabetes.

Keywords: Diabetic retinopathy, Diabetes mellitus, Ankle/brachial index, Peripheral arterial disease, Microalbuminuria

Philipp J Ophthalmol 2014;39:12-15

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) has been associated with other microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes mellitus. The concordance of microvascular abnormalities, such as diabetic retinopathy and microalbuminuria, has been well reported in type 1 diabetics. However, the association of microalbuminuria and diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetics is controversial and current studies provided contradicting results. 1,3,4,5

Studies have reported the association of diabetic retinopathy with markers of cardiovascular disease.⁶⁻⁸ Among these markers is the ankle/brachial index (ABI), a simple non-invasive method of determining the presence of peripheral arterial disease (PAD). The relationship of ABI scores and PAD with diabetic retinopathy has been well studied but the results are varied.^{6,7,9,10}

In this study, we investigated the relationship of diabetic retinopathy with ankle/brachial index scores and the presence of microalbuminuria. We also determined the association of the severity of diabetic retinopathy with abnormal ABI scores and the presence of microalbuminuria.

METHODOLOGY

Study Population

Patients with type 2 diabetes, aged 40-85 years, were recruited from the outpatient department of a tertiary hospital Participation was voluntary and an informed consent was obtained. Those with dense media opacity obscuring the view of the posterior pole, glaucoma, age-related macular degeneration, high myopia were excluded. Patients who have other retinal diseases or had undergone ocular procedures that made classifying the diabetic retinopathy difficult were also excluded.

Determination of Ankle/Brachial Index (ABI)

Ankle/brachial index was determined by measuring the resting systolic blood pressure with the patient in a supine position using a mercurial sphygmomanometer and a handheld doppler device (Otsuka Medical, Inc.). The ABI was computed using the lowest systolic pressure of either the dorsalis pedis artery or the posterior tibialis and the highest brachial systolic pressure. A cut-off value of <0.9 and >1.3 was used to define low and high ABI, respectively.¹¹

Determination of Microalbuminuria

Early morning urine aliquots were obtained from the patients. The dipstick was dipped in urine for 5 seconds, leaving the buffer coating just above the surface of fluid, and the reading was performed exactly 5 minutes after dipping.¹² Discrete readings of 0, 10, 20, 50, and 100 mg/ml provided a semiquantitative estimation of albumin concentration.

Assessment for Diabetic Retinopathy

Ophthalmic examination was performed within 2 weeks after ABI and microalbuminuria determination. Patients underwent visual acuity and anterior segment evaluation. Dilated fundus examination was performed using contact lens biomicroscopy to assess the presence and severity of diabetic retinopathy. Indirect ophthalmoscopy was done to detect any peripheral lesions. Findings were verified by a retina specialist. The International Grading System for Diabetic Retinopathy was used for staging.¹³

Patients with mild and moderate nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) were grouped under mild diabetic retinopathy and those with severe NPDR and proliferative diabetic retinopathy were grouped under severe diabetic retinopathy.

The results of the ABI and microalbuminuria were initially withheld from the ophthalmic examiners until all qualified patients had been assessed for the presence and stage of diabetic retinopathy.

Statistical Analysis

Data were provided as the mean +/- standard deviation for continuous variables or percentage for categorical variables. Baseline characteristics between the two groups were compared using the z-test. For testing the association of diabetic retinopathy with ABI and microalbuminuria, the binary logistic regression was used. A level of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.

RESULTS

The presence of diabetic retinopathy was confirmed in 24 of the 49 patients (49%) who were qualified for the study. Of these, 11 (45.8%) were in the mild group and 13 (54.1%) severe group. The

general characteristics of the study groups with and without retinopathy are summarized in Table 1, showing no significant differences between the 2 groups except for duration of diabetes of more than 10 years.

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population (N=49).

	Without DR (n=25)	With DR (n=24)	p value
Age	60.4 (±8.96)	61.5 (±9.7)	0.69
BMI	25.6 (±4.3)	23.8 (±3.9)	0.12
Gender, n, (%)			
Female	22 (88.0%)	17 (70.8%)	0.14
Male	3 (12.0%)	7 (29.2%)	0.14
Duration of diabetes			
Less than 5	12 (48.0%)	6 (25.0%)	0.10
5 – 10	11 (44.0%)	7 (29.2%)	0.28
Greater than 10	2 (8.0%)	11 (45.8%)	0.003
DM Meds, n,%			
Oral	19 (84.0%)	19 (79.0%)	0.79
Insulin	3 (12.0%)	4 (17.0%)	0.64
Hypertension	20 (80.0%)	19 (79.2%)	0.94
Cholesterol	207.7 (±53.0)	217.2 (±51.8)	0.56
Smoking	3 (12.0%)	3 (12.5%)	0.96
Alcohol	2 (8.0%)	2 (8.3%)	0.97
Systolic BP	128.8 (±16.2)	130.4 (±17.6)	0.74
Diastolic BP	78.4 (±8.0)	74.2 (±6.5)	0.06
FBS	146.8 (±51.4)	179.6 (±72.1)	0.07

Our data showed that patients with no diabetic retinopathy have lesser probability of having an abnormal ABI score (p = 0.002). The odds ratio of 0.04 meant that patients diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy were (inverted odds) 23.8 times more likely to have an abnormal ABI score than those who have no diabetic retinopathy. On the other hand, severe diabetic retinopathy has a significant relationship with ABI score (p = 0.01), where patients were 15.0 times more likely to have an abnormal ABI score than those who have milder forms of diabetic retinopathy. The presence of mild diabetic retinopathy has a smaller effect (p = 0.55) on the occurrence of an abnormal ABI score, where the likelihood was 2.4 times as compared to the no diabetic retinopathy group (Table 2).

Table 2. Association of diabetic retinopathy with ankle/brachial index scores.

DR Stage (n)	Abnormal ABI (n,%)	Resulting Predicting Equation	Odds Ratio	p value
Without DR (24)		ln (Odds) = -3.178		
Mild (11)	1 (9%)	ln (Odds) = 0.875	2.40	0.55
Severe (13)	5 (38%)	ln (Odds) = 2.708	15.00	0.01

Patients with no diabetic retinopathy have lesser probability of having microalbuminuria (p = 0.001). The odds ratio of 0.09 meant that patients diagnosed with diabetic retinopathy were (inverted odds) 10.99 times more likely to have microalbuminuria than those who have no diabetic

retinopathy. Mild diabetic retinopathy was not significantly related to the presence of microalbuminuria (p = 0.99). The presence of severe diabetic retinopathy, however, was significantly associated with microalbuminuria (p = 0.01), where patients were 13.75 times more likely to have microalbuminuria than those who were diagnosed with milder stages of diabetic retinopathy (Table 3).

Table 3. Association of diabetic retinopathy with micro-albuminuria.

DR Stage (n)	Micro- albuminuria (n,%)	Resulting Predicting Equation	Odds Ratio	p value
Without DR (24)	2 (9%)	ln (Odds) = -2.398	0.09	0.001
Mild (11)	0 (0%)	$\ln (Odds) = -18.805$	0.00	0.99
Severe (9)	4 (44%)	ln (Odds) = 2.621	13.75	0.01

DISCUSSION

The findings in our study correlated with the results of large population-based studies in the literature^{3,6} where positive associations were reported between diabetic retinopathy and the presence of ABI abnormalities and microalbuminuria. However, there were few studies reported on these complications using the same patient population.^{14,15}

In the assessment of diabetic retinopathy, we employed contact lens biomicroscopy for optical quality and greater axial magnification. This methodology differed from those in the reported literature where fundus photography was commonly used for evaluation.⁵⁻⁸ Logistic reasons aside, we believed that it reflected the primary method of diagnosing diabetic retinopathy in the local clinical setting.

The interval between determination of ABI and microalbuminuria and that of the ophthalmic examination in our study was less than a month. We did not find any literature describing the optimal time between the examinations. However, comparing the study of Kawasaki et. al⁶ where intervals reached up to 2 years in some cases, ours was significantly shorter. With this modification, we believed that we have minimized the chances of including subjects whose retinopathy status was worse on ophthalmic exam than when the ABI and microalbuminuria were determined.

A low ABI score (<0.9) has classically been used as a marker for PAD and as a predictor of increased morbidity and mortality. ^{6,7,9} A critical review of ABI by Khan and colleagues showed up to four-fold increase in cardiovascular disease and mortality in patients

with abnormal ABI. 11 We used the low ankle pressure method for determining ABI (LAP ABI) wherein sensitivity and specificity were reported as 89 and 93%, respectively. A high ABI score (>1.3) was considered abnormal but not indicative of PAD. Rather, it denoted vessel incompressibility. 11 The Strong Heart Study showed that low and high ABI scores were equally predictive of cardiovascular disease & mortality among patients. 16 Hence, in our analyses a low or high ABI score was reported as an abnormal finding. Our findings showed that an advanced stage of diabetic retinopathy was associated with an increased likelihood of having an abnormal ABI score.

Microalbuminuria is defined as urinary excretion of 30-300 mg/day. It is believed that its presence does not indicate presence of a kidney disease per se, but rather a secondary process affecting kidney physiology. The urine micral test provided a semiquantitative estimation of albumin excretion with a reported sensitivity of 87-89% and specificity of 88-98% with overall accuracy of 88-92% and specificity of 88-98% with overall accuracy of 88-92% in detecting microalbuminuria. We found a significant association of advanced diabetic retinopathy with the presence of microalbuminuria. This may be explained by the view that microalbuminuria might represent a generalized vascular endothelial dysfunction. The stages of diabetic retinopathy and microalbuminuria.

Limitations of this study should be noted. We have a relatively small sample size and as a cross-sectional study, it did not study the cause-and-effect relationship among diabetic retinopathy, albuminuria and ABI scores. Moreover, our diabetic retinopathy grading did not employ stereoscopic fundus photography which is considered the gold standard.

The strengths of this study were the use of a population of purely type-2 diabetics, the short interval between determination of ABI and microalbuminuria and assessment of retinopathy, and the ability to study the association of diabetic retinopathy with ABI and microalbuminuria in the same population.

In conclusion, our study showed that patients with severe diabetic retinopathy were more likely to have abnormal ankle/brachial index scores and microalbuminuria. Thus, those presenting with severe diabetic retinopathy were not only at risk of losing their sight but also at higher risk of developing lifethreatening systemic vascular and renal complications of diabetes.

REFERENCES

- The effect of intensive diabetes treatment on the progression of diabetic retinopathy in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT). Arch Ophthalmol 1995;113:36–51.
- Lovestam-Adrian M, Agardh E, Agardh CD. The temporal development of retinopathy and nephropathy in type 1 diabetes mellitus during 15 years diabetes duration. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1999;45:15-23.
- Rani P, Raman R, Gupta A, et al. Albuminuria and diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Sankara Nethralaya Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic Study (SN-DREAMS, report 12). Diabetology and Metabolic Syndrome 2011; 3:9.
- Potisat S, Srisubat A, Krairttichai A, Jongsareejit A. The relationship between microalbuminuria by using urine dipsticks and diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2008; 6:846-51.
- Manaviat M, Afkhami M, Shoja M. Retinopathy and albuminuria in type 2 diabetic patients. *Int J Endocrinol Metab* 2005; 4:153-157.
- Kawasaki R, Cheung N, Islam A, et al. Is diabetic retinopathy related to subclinical cardiovascular disease? Ophthalmology 2011; 118:860-865.
- Yun YW, Shin MH, Lee YH, et al. Arterial stiffness is associated with diabetic retinopathy in Korean type 2 diabetic patients. J Prev Med Public Health 2011; 44:260-266.
- Tanaka K, Kawai T, Saisho Y, et al. Relationship between stage of diabetic retinopathy and pulse wave velocity in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Res 2013; 2013:193514.
- Ricciardi G, Vaccaro O, Rivellese A, et al. Association between diabetic retinopathy and impaired peripheral arterial circulation in insulin-dependent diabetic patients. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 1988; 8:509-514.
- Tryniszewski W, Gadzicki M, Maziarz Z, et al. Progression of diabetic retinopathy correlated with muscle perfusion disturbances of the lower limbs, with clinically important diagnostic recommendations. Arch Med Sci 2010;6:904-911.
- Khan T, Farooqi F, Niazi K. Critical review of the ankle brachial index. Curr Cardiol Rev 2008;4:101-106.
- 12. Minetti E, Cozzi M, Granata S, Guidi E. Accuracy of the urinary albumin titrator stick 'Micral-Test' in kidney-disease patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1997;12:78-80.
- 13. Wilkinson C, Ferris F, Klein R, et al. The Global Diabetic Retinopathy Project Group. Proposed International Clinical Diabetic Retinopathy and Diabetic Macular Edema Disease Severity Scales. *Ophthalmology* 2003;110:1677–1682.
- Papanas N, Symeonidis G, Mavridis G, et al. Ankle-brachial index: a surrogate marker of microvascular complications in type 2 diabetes mellitus? *Int Angiol* 2007;26:253-7.
- Chandy A, Pawar B, John M, Isaac R. Association between diabetic nephropathy and other diabetic microvascular and macrovascular complications. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transplant* 2008;19:924-928.
- Resnick H, Lindsay R, McDermott M, et al. Relationship of high and low ankle brachial index to all-cause and cardiovascular disease mortality (The Strong Heart Study). Circulation 2004;109:733-739.
- Sobngwi E, Mbanya JC, Moukouri EN, Ngu KB. Microalbuminuria and retinopathy in a diabetic population of Cameroon. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1999;44:191-196.
- Glassock RJ. Is the presence of microalbuminuria a relevant marker of kidney disease? Curr Hypertens Rep 2010;12:364-368