

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Experimental infection of tick cells with Nipah virus

Phoon, W.H.¹, Bell-Sakyi, L.², AbuBakar, S.³, Chang, L.Y.^{1*}

¹Department of Medical Microbiology, Universiti Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

²Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Science, University of Liverpool, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L3 5RF, United Kingdom

³Tropical Infectious Diseases Research & Education Centre, Universiti Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

*Corresponding author: changliyen@um.edu.my

ARTICLE HISTORY

ABSTRACT

Received: 28 August 2022 Revised: 11 January 2023 Accepted: 12 January 2023 Published: 28 February 2023 Nipah virus (NiV), a highly pathogenic henipavirus of the family *Paramyxoviridae*, which causes fatal encephalitis in 40-70% of affected patients, was first reported in Malaysia over 20 years ago. Pteropid bats are the natural hosts of henipaviruses, and ticks have been proposed as a possible link between bats and mammalian hosts. To investigate this hypothesis, infection of the tick cell line IDE8 with NiV was examined. Presence of viral RNA and antigen in the NiV-infected tick cells was confirmed. Infectious virions were recovered from NiV-infected tick cells and ultrastructural features of NiV were observed by electron microscopy. These results suggest that ticks could support NiV infection, potentially playing a role in transmission.

Keywords: Henipavirus infection; Chiroptera; ticks; viral RNA; electron microscopy.

INTRODUCTION

Nipah virus (NiV), a member of the family Paramyxoviridae, was first identified in 1998 in Malaysia as the causative agent of an outbreak of febrile encephalitis in patients associated with pig farming (Chua et al., 2000). Since then, multiple disease outbreaks involving NiV have been reported almost annually in Bangladesh (Hsu et al., 2004; Luby et al., 2009), while isolated outbreaks occurred in India (Chadha et al., 2006; Arunkumar et al., 2019; Yadav et al., 2022) and the Philippines (Ching et al., 2015). Serological and virological analyses have provided evidence to support pteropid fruit bats as the likely reservoir of NiV (Field et al., 2001; Yob et al., 2001; Chua, 2003). In Malaysia, NiV transmission from reservoir host to susceptible animals was believed to occur through exposure to bat excreta or saliva in fruits that were partially eaten by bats (Chua et al., 2002; Rahman et al., 2010). Humans then contracted the infection through close contact with NiV-infected pigs, specifically direct contact with body fluids or secretions of the infected pigs (Amal et al., 2000; Parashar et al., 2000). Molecular evidence of identical sequences from the NiV strains isolated from diseased pigs and humans confirmed the direct transmission of NiV from pigs to humans (AbuBakar et al., 2004). On the other hand, ticks have been proposed as a potential vector of a close relative of NiV, the Hendra virus (HeV), from bats to horses and other mammals (Barker, 2003). Generally, ticks are blood-feeding parasites that harbour various microorganisms and infectious pathogens, including arboviruses such as Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus and tick-borne encephalitis virus (Nicholson et al., 2018). Tick cell lines are known to support the replication of a wide range of arboviruses as well as viruses with no known arthropod vectors, including arenaviruses (Bell-Sakyi et al., 2012; Hepojoki et al., 2015). However, to date,

there is no report available on paramyxovirus infection of tick cells. Considering that ticks could be the interface between bats and livestock and humans, this study aimed to investigate the ability of tick cells to support NiV infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Virus and cells

The NiV Sungai Buloh strain/NiV/MY/99/VRI-2794 (AbuBakar et al., 2004), was propagated in Vero cells (CCL-81) (ATCC, USA) and maintained in Gibco[™] Minimum Essential Medium with Earle's salts (EMEM) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) supplemented with 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-glutamine and 1% non-essential amino acids at 37°C with 5% CO2. The IDE8 tick cell line, derived from embryonated eggs of the black-legged tick Ixodes scapularis (Munderloh et al., 1994) was maintained in NuncTM flat-sided tubes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in Gibco[™] Leibovitz's L-15 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) prepared with some modifications (Munderloh & Kurtti, 1989). The modified L-15 medium (L-15B) contained 5% FBS, 10% tryptose phosphate broth, 0.1% bovine lipoprotein concentrate (MP Biomedicals, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin. The IDE8 cells were incubated in ambient air at 28°C with medium changed weekly, and subcultured when necessary.

All experiments involving the use of live NiV were performed at the biosafety level 3 (BSL3) facility in Universiti Malaya (UM). NiV is classified as a risk group 3 agent in Malaysia under the Prevention and Control of Infectious Diseases Act 1998, Malaysia, and biorisk assessment was performed and approved by the UM Institutional Biosafety and Biosecurity Committee.

Preparation of NiV inoculum

Purified NiV stock (100 μ L) was added to Vero cells at 80% confluency, and pre-absorbed for 1 h at 37°C, followed by rinsing with serumfree medium to remove unbound virus. NiV-infected Vero cells were incubated at 37°C. When 90% of the infected cell monolayer showed cytopathic effect (CPE), the supernatant containing NiV was harvested and centrifuged at 800 ×g for 5 min to remove all residual cells. The supernatant was aliquoted, stored at -80°C and used as virus inoculum in subsequent experiments.

NiV titration

The titer of NiV supernates was determined by virus plaque assay using Vero cells. Briefly, Vero cells were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates at a concentration of 5×10^5 cells per well and incubated at 37°C overnight. A tenfold serial dilution of NiV inoculum was prepared with serum-free medium, 200 µL of each dilution was added to Vero cells and pre-adsorbed for 1 h at 37°C. The virus inoculum was then removed, cells were rinsed with serum-free medium and overlaid with a layer of 1.5% carboxymethylcellulose in EMEM supplemented with 2% FBS. The infected cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) on day 3 post infection (PI) and stained with 1% crystal violet. The plaques were counted using a stereomicroscope (Nikon SMZ1000, Japan). The titer of infectious virus was determined and expressed as plaque-forming units per mL (PFU/mL).

NiV infection of cells

NiV infection was performed in IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells. Briefly, IDE8 tick cells were seeded at a concentration of 1×10^6 cells in a flat-sided tube and incubated overnight at 28°C. The tick cells were infected with NiV inoculum at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.5. The mock-infected tick cells were given mock inoculum (medium) in parallel. After pre-adsorption at 28°C for 1 h, the cells were rinsed thrice with serum-free medium and replenished with fresh L-15B supplemented with 2% FBS. NiV-infected tick cells were examined daily for morphological changes and sampled at 8, 24, 48, 96, 168 and 240 h PI for immunofluorescence microscopy and extraction of viral RNA. IDE8 tick cells were detached by pipetting using a 1 mL micropipettor to direct a stream of medium at the cell layer. The detached cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 200 ×g and resuspended in complete L-15B for subsequent experiments. All of the experiments were performed three times with three replicates each.

Vero cells seeded at 1×10^6 cells per well in 12-well plates were infected with NiV inoculum at MOI of 0.5 or with mock inoculum. Pre-adsorption was performed at 37°C for 1 h, followed by rinsing three times with serum-free medium, and then adding fresh EMEM supplemented with 2% FBS. The NiV-infected Vero cells were examined daily and harvested at 8, 12, 24, 48 and 72 h Pl for immunofluorescence microscopy and extraction of viral RNA.

Immunofluorescence microscopy

The NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells were placed onto glass slides coated with poly-L-lysine and fixed with 4% PFA overnight at 4°C. The cells were then rinsed three times with PBS, followed by permeabilisation with 0.5% Igepal (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) in PBS for 30 min. The slides were rinsed with PBS and blocked for 30 min with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS. NiV nucleoprotein (N) was detected using rNiV-N monoclonal antibody (Yong *et al.*, 2020) as primary antibody at a dilution of 1:100, and Alexa Fluor[®] 594 goat anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen, USA) as secondary antibody, diluted 1:500. The slides were incubated for 60 min and followed by washing with PBS for 20 min. Next, the cell nuclei were counterstained with InvitrogenTM Hoechst 33342 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) for 10 min. The slides were then rinsed three times with PBS, and excess PBS solution was removed. ProLongTM Gold Antifade Mountant

(Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) was applied, and a coverslip was placed over the cells. The fluorescent-stained cells were viewed using a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse TE-2000E, Japan).

Electron microscopy (EM)

The NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells were harvested at 48, 96 and 240 h PI by centrifugation. Cell pellets were fixed with 4% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer overnight, followed by post-fixing in 1% buffered osmium tetroxide for 2 h, and then cacodylate buffer overnight. The fixed cell pellets were dehydrated in ethanol with increasing concentrations at 35%, 50%, 70%, 95% and three times in 100% ethanol for 15 min each. The cell pellets were washed twice with propylene oxide for 15 min each, and a final wash with a mixture of propylene oxide and epoxy resin [1 mL Agar-100, 0.6 mL dodecenylsuccinic anhydride (DDSA), 0.6 mL methyl nadic anhydride and 0.05 mL benzyldimethylamine (BDMA)], first at 1:1 for 1 h, followed by 3:1 for 2 h. The cell pellets were then embedded in 100% epoxy resin overnight and polymerised at 60°C the next day. Semi-thin sections were cut and stained with toluidine blue, washed with 95% alcohol, then in water and dried for examination under a light microscope to determine areas of interest. Thin sections were mounted on a 200 mesh copper grid (Ted Pella Inc., USA) and stained with 4% uranyl acetate and Reynold's lead citrate for 5 and 10 min, respectively. The stained grids were washed several times with deionised water and dried on clean filter paper. Ultrastructural changes in NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells were examined using HT7700 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan).

Immunogold electron microscopy (IEM)

The grids were heated at 95°C in antigen retrieval citrate buffer (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) diluted in 0.01 M PBS, pH 6.0 (Brorson & Nguyen, 2001). The grids were then washed 10 times with PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) for 2 min each, followed by blocking with 10% BSA (diluted in PBS, pH 7.2) for 4 h at 4°C. Incubation with rNiV-N monoclonal antibody (Yong et al., 2020) as primary antibody at a dilution of 1:100 in 10% BSA/PBST was performed overnight at 4°C. The grids were washed 10 times with PBST for 2 min each again, after which the grids were incubated with goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with 10 nm gold particles (BBI Solutions, UK) as secondary antibody at a dilution of 1:50 in 3% BSA/PBST for 75 min at room temperature. The grids were washed with PBST and then stained with 4% uranyl acetate and Reynold's lead citrate for 5 min and 10 min, respectively. The stained grids were rinsed several times with deionised H₂O, and dried on clean filter paper. Grids with sections from mock-infected cell cultures were used as negative controls. Ultrastructural changes in NiVinfected IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells were examined using HT7700 transmission electron microscope (Hitachi, Japan).

RT-PCR and qRT-PCR

Viral RNA was extracted from the NiV-infected cells using TRI Reagent[®] (Molecular Research Center, Inc., USA) for detection of NiV RNA by RT-PCR (Chang *et al.*, 2006). Viral RNA was also extracted to determine viral replication in the NiV-infected cell culture supernatant, and RNA extraction was performed using TRI Reagent[®] LS (Molecular Research Center, Inc., USA). The RNA pellet was dissolved in nuclease-free water and the RNA quality was checked using a NanoPhotometer[®] P 300 (Implen GmbH, Germany). The NiV N gene or gene copy number was determined by qRT-PCR as previously described (Tiong *et al.*, 2018). Briefly, 1 μ L of extracted RNA was added to a final reaction volume of 12 μ L, containing 3 μ L of TaqMan[®] Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix (4×), 0.6 μ L of TaqMan[®] Gene Expression assay (20×) and 7.4 μ L of nuclease-free water. The forward primer (5'-ATC GGA AAC TAT GTC GAG GAA ACT G-3'), reverse primer (5'-CTC CAA CCC GAA

TCT GAT GGT-3'), and fluorescent probe (5'-ATG GCA GGA TTC TTC G-3') were used. A standard curve was made using a 10-fold serial dilution of the NiV RNA standard of known copy number, ranging from 10^1 to 10^6 RNA copies. The qRT-PCR was performed using the StepOnePlusTM instrument (Applied Biosystems, USA) with the following conditions: 50° C for 5 min and 95° C for 20 s, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95° C for 3 s and 60° C for 30 s). All controls, standards, and samples were performed in triplicate and repeated three times.

Statistical analysis

The Independent T test and Levene's test for equality of variances were used to determine whether or not two independent samples were significantly different.

RESULTS

NiV infection and immunofluorescence microscopy

Cells of the *I. scapularis* tick cell line IDE8 were infected with NiV at a MOI of 0.5 and examined at 8, 24, 48, 96, 168 and 240 h PI for CPE by phase-contrast light microscopy. Mock-infected IDE8 tick cells were a mixed population consisting of a well-attached monolayer of cells and clumps of loosely-attached round cells (Figure 1A). NiVinfected IDE8 tick cells appeared similar to mock-infected cells with no obvious evidence of CPE up to the end of the 240 h PI infection period (Figure 1B). Susceptible mammalian Vero cells were prepared in parallel and infected with NiV at MOI 0.5. Mock-infected Vero cells were used as control (Figure 1C). Evidence of CPE was observed in NiV-infected Vero cells at 24 h PI (Figure 1D), specifically giant multinucleated cells that increased in number and size with time, and at 72 h PI (Figure 1E) most cells had started to detach from the culture vessel. The presence of viral RNA was confirmed by RT-PCR detection of NiV N gene in the infected IDE8 and Vero cells (data not shown).

To confirm that IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells were infected with NiV, cells were examined for the presence of NiV N by immunofluorescence microscopy (Figure 2). Despite the absence of CPE in NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells, NiV N was detected and visualised as a red fluorescence signal using rNiV-N monoclonal antibody and Alexa Fluor[®] 594 conjugated secondary antibody (Figure 2D). The red fluorescent signal was observed in the cytoplasm of some cells in the culture of NiV-infected IDE8 at 24 h PI. The fluorescent signal was maintained over the following week and was present up to 240 h PI (Figure 2F). In marked contrast to IDE8 tick cells, the fluorescent signal was prominent in the cytoplasm of almost all NiV-infected Vero cells at 72 h PI (Figure 2H). In addition, a highly intense speckled red fluorescent signal was concentrated in certain areas of the cells. These results indicated that the NiV N antigen was present in both infected IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells. No detectable fluorescent signal was observed in negative controls, either the mock-infected IDE8 or Vero cells (Figure 2B and Figure 2G).

Electron microscopy and IEM

Electron microscopy revealed presence of bodies of electrondense material, similar to previously-described nucleocapsid inclusion bodies (NCI) (Goldsmith *et al.*, 2003) in the cytoplasm of NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells at 96 h (Figure 3A) and 240 h PI (Figure 3B and Figure 3C). NCIs were distinguishable and located in the cytoplasm of NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells. IEM labeling using rNiV-N monoclonal antibody revealed gold particles on structures, ~20



Figure 1. Phase contrast light microscopic examination of IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells infected with Nipah virus (NiV) at MOI of 0.5. (A) Mock-infected IDE8 tick cells as negative control, (B) NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells at 240 h following infection, (C) Mock-infected Vero cells as negative control, (D) NiV-infected Vero cells at 24 h, (E) NiV-infected Vero cells at 72 h. All images were taken at 200× magnification by inverted light microscope; scale bars = 1 μ m.



Figure 2. Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis of IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells following infection with Nipah virus (NiV). Presence of NiV in the infected cells was detected using rNiV-N monoclonal antibody and Alexa Fluor[®] 594 goat anti-mouse IgG, and appeared red. (A) and (B) Mock-infected IDE8 tick cells as negative control, (C) and (D) NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells at 24 h PI, (E) and (F) NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells at 240 h PI, (G) Mock-infected Vero cells as negative control, (H) NiV-infected Vero cells at 72 h PI. The cellular nucleus was counterstained with Hoechst 33342 stain, and appeared blue. All images were taken at 400× magnification by phase-contrast and fluorescent microscope; scale bars = 1 μ m.



Figure 3. Transmission electron micrographs of IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells infected with Nipah virus (NiV). Electrondense material, similar to that previously described as nucleocapsid inclusion bodies (NCI) in the cytoplasm of NiVinfected IDE8 tick cells (A) at 96 h PI when dense material occupied part of the cytoplasm (arrow); scale bar 2000 nm, (B) at 240 h PI, when dense material almost completely filled the cytoplasm (arrow); scale bar 2000 nm, (C) a NCI (arrow) at 240h PI; scale bar 200 nm, (D) immuno-electron micrograph showing typical herringbone structure (arrow) associated with paramyxoviruses in the cytoplasm at 96 h PI, with gold particles targeting the NiV nucleoprotein (N) attached along the herringbone structure; scale bar 100 nm, (E) gold particles on darkened plasma membrane (arrow) at 48 h PI; scale bar 100 nm, (F) gold particles present on a putative viral budding structure (arrow) at 48 h PI; scale bar 100 nm, and (G) closed ring-like structures of 27-33 nm diameter (arrows); scale bar 100 nm. (H) Similar ring-like structures were noted in cytoplasm of a NiV-infected Vero cell (arrows); scale bar 100 nm.

nm wide, representing the herringbone-like appearance typical of paramyxovirus nucleocapsids (Figure 3D). The gold particles were also occasionally evident on the darkened plasma membrane, associated with putative viral budding (Figure 3E and Figure 3F). Closed ring-like structures, 27-33 nm in diameter, were found in NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells (Figure 3G), as well as positive control NiV-infected Vero cells (Figure 3H). None of the above-mentioned ultrastructural features or IEM labelling was observed in mock-infected IDE8 or Vero cells.

Kinetics of NiV replication in cell cultures

To determine the growth characteristics of NiV in IDE8 tick cells, samples of NiV-infected IDE8 cell supernatant were harvested at selected time-points PI and extracellular viral RNA was determined by qRT-PCR of the NiV N gene. The extracellular NiV RNA increased gradually from 35.1 ± 20.9 viral copies/µL at 8 h PI to 162.5 ± 86.2 viral copies/µL at 240 h PI (Figure 4A), and the increase was statistically significant (p<0.05). For NiV-infected Vero cells, the increase in extracellular viral RNA was rapid and reached $3.8 \times 10^4 \pm 1.4 \times 10^4$ viral copies/µL at 48 h PI (Figure 4B). This amount was significantly higher than that for NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells (p<0.05).

Furthermore, the infectivity of NiV released from infected IDE8 tick cells was tested using virus plaque assay on Vero cells. The release of viable and infectious virions from NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells was shown by a gradual increase in the number of PFU obtained during the 240 h sampling period (Table 1). Virus production in NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells at 240 h PI was 15 ± 5.3 PFU/mL as compared to $2.5 \times 10^5 \pm 3.0 \times 10^4$ PFU/mL for NiV-infected Vero cells at 48 h PI. The increase in virion numbers in individual IDE8 cultures, as determined by plaque assay, ranged from 3.3-fold to 12-fold over the 10-day observation period. The trend of NiV virion release at 48 h PI was significantly slower in IDE8 tick cells compared to Vero cells (p<0.05).

DISCUSSION

NiV caused a disease outbreak in Malaysia in 1999 that led to at least 265 cases of NiV-infected patients with 105 deaths due to encephalitis (Chua *et al.*, 2000). Several NiV cases were reported after the initial outbreak as cases of relapse and late-onset encephalitis (Tan *et al.*, 2002; Abdullah *et al.*, 2012). In Malaysia, swine were identified as the intermediate and amplifying hosts of NiV (Chua *et al.*, 2000; Chua, 2003). The virus was then transmitted to



Figure 4. Nipah virus (NiV) replication kinetics in infected (A) IDE8 tick cells and (B) Vero cells. Extracellular NiV RNA copy numbers were determined using a qRT-PCR targeting the NiV N gene. Data are shown from three experiments each with three biological replicates, error bars represent standard deviation.

Table 1. Plaque assay titration of Nipah virus (NiV) particles in supernate of NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells harvested over 240 h and 48 h periods post infection, respectively (means of three independent experiments with three biological replicates and two technical replicates each)

Hours PI	Plaque-forming units (PFU)/mL from supernate of NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells		Plaque-forming units (PFU)/mL from supernate of NiV-infected Vero cells	
	Mean number of plaques (range)	Standard deviation	Mean number of plaques (range)	Standard deviation
0	2.5 (2-3)	0.5	1.0 (0-2)	1.2
4	4.3 (3-6)	1.4	2.3 (1-3)	1.0
8	3.5 (2-5)	1.2	4.8 (4-7)	1.5
12	ND	ND	122.5 (100-160)	26.3
24	4.0 (0-8)	3.0	$1.7 \times 10^4 (1.0-2.1 \times 10^4)$	5.2 × 10 ³
48	4.2 (2-6)	1.8	$2.5 \times 10^5 (2.2 - 3.0 \times 10^5)$	3.0×10^{4}
96	5.5 (4-7)	1.4	ND	ND
168	5.7 (4-9)	1.8	ND	ND
240	15.0 (10-24)	5.3	ND	ND

ND = not done.

humans via aerosol droplets. In the Philippines, an epidemiological report identified horses as the intermediate host for transmission of NiV to humans (Ching et al., 2015). Other local domestic animals including goats, pigs and cattle were found to be seropositive for NiV in Bangladesh, where NiV outbreaks occur almost annually (Luby et al., 2009a, 2009b). However, NiV patients in Bangladesh were infected primarily through direct contact via consumption of half-eaten fruit or date palm sap contaminated by bats or their secretions/excretions, without the involvement of an intermediate host. The pteropid fruit bats have been identified as the natural reservoir host for NiV based on various serological findings and surveillance programs, specifically the detection of NiV RNA and NiV seropositivity in bats in Southeast Asia (Yob et al., 2001; Chua et al., 2002; Olson et al., 2002; Rahman et al., 2010; Hasebe et al., 2012; Sendow et al., 2013; Wacharapluesadee et al., 2021). As pteropid bats have a wide geographical distribution, a spillover of NiV from its natural reservoir host to susceptible hosts could occur, potentially leading to outbreaks in the region and its surroundings.

Australian paralysis ticks were suggested to play a role in transmitting HeV (a close relative of NiV) from its natural reservoir, pteropid bats, to horses in Queensland, Australia (Barker, 2003), although experimental evidence was lacking. Consequently, we postulated that ticks could be potential vectors transmitting NiV from bats to other susceptible hosts, as NiV and HeV are closely related molecularly and phylogenetically (Wang *et al.*, 2001). As a first step to test this hypothesis, the IDE8 tick cells were examined to ascertain if NiV could infect and replicate in tick cells.

We detected the presence of NiV N antigen in NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells by immunofluorescence, in which the intensity of the fluorescence signal increased with time. Ultrastructural features of NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells were generally similar to those described for NiV-infected Vero cells (Hyatt et al., 2001; Goldsmith et al., 2003), such as electron-dense inclusion bodies in the cytoplasm and darkened plasma membrane associated with viral budding. The closed ring-like structure observed in IEM preparations of NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells and Vero cells, with some similarity to structures previously reported in NiV-infected Vero cells (Goldsmith et al., 2003) was notable. The NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells were able to sustain the infection with no visible cell damage or destruction over a 10-day period. Despite the absence of CPE in NiV-infected IDE8 tick cells, the presence of viral RNA was confirmed by RT-PCR detection of NiV N gene in the infected cells. NiV replication in IDE8 tick cells, measured by extracellular NiV RNA level, was also determined, and the RNA level was lower compared to NiVinfected Vero cells. The pattern of NiV replication kinetics in Vero cells obtained in the present study was found to be consistent with previous reports (Guillaume et al., 2004; Chang et al., 2006), with syncytia formation as characteristic CPE detected as early as 24 h PI, and a rapid increase in viral RNA detected between 12 and 48 h PI. Nonetheless, infectious virus particles were recovered from NiVinfected IDE8 tick cells, although at significantly lower levels than from NiV-infected Vero cells. Absence of CPE, and generally slower rate and lower levels of NiV replication in tick cells compared to mammalian cells are typical of virus infections in tick cell cultures (Rehacek, 1965; Schrauf et al., 2009; Bell-Sakyi et al., 2012; Offerdahl et al., 2012; Salata et al., 2018). Further experiments, in which the NiV-infected IDE8 cells are maintained and sampled over a longer period, and/or NiV is passaged repeatedly through IDE8 cells, would reveal whether the virus is able to attain higher titers and adapt to prolonged maintenance in tick cells.

Our findings suggest that NiV replicates in IDE8 tick cells, although at a lower level than in mammalian cells, thus providing evidence to support the previously proposed hypothesis that ticks could harbour henipaviruses (Barker, 2003). The reasons for the differences between NiV infection in tick cells and Vero cells remain to be determined. Factors such as different host cell receptors for virus attachment, or different mechanisms of virus entry, could affect virus infectivity. NiV uses ephrin-B2 and -B3 to enter mammalian cells (Bonaparte et al., 2005; Negrete et al., 2006). Genes encoding putative Eph receptor tyrosine kinase and a putative ephrin fragment are present in the I. scapularis genome (Vectorbase, 2022); however, further studies are needed to determine whether NiV entry into tick cells is mediated by ephrin, another ligand, or occurs through phagocytosis. It would be worthwhile to screen for NiV infection in ticks in vivo to confirm their possible role as hosts or vectors, although paramyxoviruses have not yet been detected in ticks, likely because of the limited tick virome studies carried out to date, especially in Southeast Asia. Our findings are the first to provide evidence and open new perspectives to a possible alternative route of transmission for NiV from its natural reservoir host, pteropid bats, to other susceptible hosts via ticks, particularly in areas where NiV infection in bats is endemic, but almost no information is available on the incidence of viral infection in susceptible hosts, including humans.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in parts by the Malaysia Ministry of Higher Education Exploratory Research Grant Scheme (ERGS/1/11/SKK/ UM/3/3), Universiti Malaya Research Grant (UMRG RP013-2012D), Universiti Malaya Postgraduate Research Grant (PG041-2014B), Universiti Malaya Partnership Grant (MG007-2021) and the United Kingdom Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grants BB/P024270/1 and BB/P024378/1. The IDE8 tick cells were provided by the Tick Cell Biobank and used by kind permission of Ulrike Munderloh, University of Minnesota.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, S., Chang, L.Y., Rahmat, K., Goh, K.J., Tan, C.T. (2012). Late-onset Nipah virus encephalitis 11 years after the initial outbreak: A case report. *Neurology Asia* 17: 71-74.
- AbuBakar, S., Chang, L.Y., Ali, A.R., Sharifah, S.H., Yusoff, K., Zamrod, Z. (2004). Isolation and molecular identification of Nipah virus from pigs. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **10**: 2228-2230.
 - https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1012.040452
- Amal, N.M., Lye, M.S., Ksiazek, T.G., Kitsutani, P.D., Hanjeet, K.S., Kamaluddin, M.A., Ong, F., Devi, S., Stockton, P.C., Ghazali, O. *et al.* (2000). Risk factors for Nipah virus transmission, Port Dickson, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia: results from a hospital-based case-control study. *Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health* **31**: 301-306.
- Arunkumar, G., Chandini, R., Mourya, D.T., Singh, S.K., Sadanandan, R., Sudan, P., Bhargava, B. & Nipah Investigators People and Health Study Group. (2019). Outbreak investigation of Nipah virus disease in Kerala, India, 2018. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* **219**: 1867-1878. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiy612
- Barker, S.C. (2003). The Australian paralysis tick may be the missing link in the transmission of Hendra virus from bats to horses to humans. *Medical Hypotheses* **60**: 481-483.
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/s0306-9877(02)00377-8
- Bell-Sakyi, L., Kohl, A., Bente, D.A. & Fazakerley, J.K. (2012). Tick cell lines for study of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus and other arboviruses. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases* 12: 769-781. https://doi.org/10.1089/vbz.2011.0766
- Bonaparte, M.I., Dimitrov, A.S., Bossart, K.N. & Broder, C.C. (2005). Ephrin-B2 ligand is a functional receptor for Hendra virus and Nipah virus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**: 10652-10657. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504887102
- Brorson, S.H. & Nguyen, G.H. (2001). Increased level of immunogold labeling of epoxy sections by rising the temperature significantly beyond 95°C in the antigen retrieval medium. *Micron* **32**: 591-597. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0968-4328(00)00052-4

- Chadha, M.S., Comer, J.A., Lowe, L., Rota, P.A., Rollin, P.E., Bellini, W.J., Ksiazek, T.G. & Mishra, A. (2006). Nipah virus-associated encephalitis outbreak, Siliguri, India. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **12**: 235-240. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1202.051247
- Chang, L.Y., Ali, A.R., Hassan, S.S. & AbuBakar, S. (2006). Quantitative estimation of Nipah virus replication kinetics *in vitro*. *Virology Journal* 3: 47. https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-3-47
- Ching, P.K., de los Reyes, V.C., Sucaldito, M.N., Tayag, E., Columna-Vingno, A.B., Malbas, F.F.Jr., Bolo, G.C.Jr., Sejvar, J.J, Eagles, D., Playford, G. et al. (2015). Outbreak of henipavirus infection, Philippines, 2014. Emerging Infecttious Diseases 21: 328-331. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2102.141433
- Chua, K.B., Bellini, W.J., Rota, P.A., Harcourt, B.H., Tamin, A., Lam, S.K., Ksiazek, T.G., Rollin, P.E., Zaki, S.R., Shieh, W. *et al.* (2000). Nipah virus: a recently emergent deadly paramyxovirus. *Science* 288: 1432-1435. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.288.5470.1432
- Chua, K.B., Koh, C.L., Hooi, P.S., Wee, K.F., Khong, J.H., Chua, B.H., Chan, Y.P., Lim, M.E. & Lam, S.K. (2002). Isolation of Nipah virus from Malaysian Island flying-foxes. *Microbes and Infection* **4**: 145-151. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1286-4579(01)01522-2
- Chua, K.B. (2003). Nipah virus outbreak in Malaysia. *Journal of Clinical Virology* 26: 265-275. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1386-6532(02)00268-8
- Field, H., Young, P., Yob, J.M., Mills, J., Hall, L. & Mackenzie, J. (2001). The natural history of Hendra and Nipah viruses. *Microbes and Infection* 3: 307-314. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1286-4579(01)01384-3
- Goldsmith, C.S., Whistler, T., Rollin, P.E., Ksiazek, T.G., Rota, P.A., Bellini, W.J., Daszak, P., Wong, K.T., Shieh, W.J. & Zaki, S.R. (2003). Elucidation of Nipah virus morphogenesis and replication using ultrastructural and molecular approaches. *Virus Research* **92**: 89-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0168-1702(02)00323-4
- Guillaume, V., Lefeuvre, A., Faure, C., Marianneau, P., Buckland, R., Lam, S.K., Wild, T.F. & Deubel, V. (2004). Specific detection of Nipah virus using real-time RT-PCR (TaqMan). *Journal of Virological Methods* **120**: 229-237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.05.018
- Hasebe, F., Thuy, N.T.T., Inoue, S., Yu, F., Kaku, Y., Watanabe, S., Akashi, H., Dat, D.T., Mai, L.T.Q. & Morita, K. (2012). Serologic evidence of Nipah virus infection in bats, Vietnam. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 18: 536-537. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1803.111121
- Hepojoki, J., Kipar, A., Korzyukov, Y., Bell-Sakyi, L., Vapalahti, O. & Hetzel, U. (2015). Replication of boid inclusion body disease-associated arenaviruses is temperature sensitive in both boid and mammalian cells. *Journal of Virology* 89: 1119-1128. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.03119-14
- Hsu, V.P., Hossain, M.J., Parashar, U.D., Ali, M.M., Ksiazek, T.G., Kuzmin, I., Niezgoda, M., Rupprecht, C., Bresee, J. & Breiman, R.F. (2004). Nipah virus encephalitis reemergence, Bangladesh. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 10: 2082-2087. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1012.040701
- Hyatt, A.D., Zaki, S.R., Goldsmith, C.S., Wise, T.G. & Hengstberger, S.G. (2001). Ultrastructure of Hendra virus and Nipah virus within cultured cells and host animals. *Microbes and Infection* **3**: 297-306. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1286-4579(01)01383-1
- Luby, S.P., Gurley, E.S. & Hossain, M.J. (2009a). Transmission of human infection with Nipah virus. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 49: 1743-1748. https://doi.org/10.1086/647951
- Luby, S.P., Hossain, M.J., Gurley, E.S., Ahmed, B.N., Banu, S., Khan, S.U., Homaira, N., Rota, P.A., Rollin, P.E., Comer, J.A. et al. (2009b). Recurrent zoonotic transmission of Nipah virus into humans, Bangladesh, 2001-2007. Emerging Infectious Diseases 15: 1229-1235. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1508.081237

Munderloh, U.G. & Kurtti, T.J. (1989). Formulation of medium for tick cell culture. *Experimental and Applied Acarology* 7: 219-229. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01194061

- Munderloh, U.G., Liu, Y., Wang, M., Chen, C. & Kurtti, T.J. (1994). Establishment, maintenance and description of cell lines from the tick *Ixodes scapularis. Journal of Parasitology* 80: 533-543.
- Negrete, O.A., Wolf, M.C., Aguilar, H.C., Enterlein, S., Wang, W., Muhlberger, E., Su, S.V., Bertolotti-Ciarlet, A., Flick, R. & Lee, B. (2006). Two key residues in ephrinB3 are critical for its use as an alternative receptor for Nipah virus. *PLoS Pathogens* 2: e7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.0020007

Nicholson, W.L., Sonenshine, D.E., Noden, B.H. & Brown, R.N. (2018). Ticks (Ixodida). In: Medical and Veterinary Entomology, Mullen, G.R. & Durden, L.A. (editors) 3rd edition. Academic Press, pp. 603-672.

- Offerdahl, D.K., Dorward, D.W., Hansen, B.T. & Bloom, M.E. (2012). A threedimensional comparison of tick-borne flavivirus infection in mammalian and tick cell lines. *PLoS One* **7**: e47912. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0047912
- Olson, J.G., Rupprecht, C., Rollin, P.E., An, U.S., Niezgoda, M., Clemins, T., Walston, J. & Ksiazek, T.G. (2002). Antibodies to Nipah-like virus in bats (*Pteropus lylei*), Cambodia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 8: 987-988. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0809.010515
- Parashar, U.D., Sunn, L.M., Ong, F., Mounts, A.W., Arif, M.T., Ksiazek, T.G., Kamaluddin, M.A., Mustafa, A.N., Kaur, H., Ding, L.M. *et al.* (2000). Casecontrol study of risk factors for human infection with a new zoonotic paramyxovirus, Nipah virus, during a 1998-1999 outbreak of severe encephalitis in Malaysia. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 181: 1755-1759. https://doi.org/10.1086/315457
- Rahman, S.A., Hassan, S.S., Olivial, K.J., Mohamed, M., Chang, L.Y., Hassan, L., Saad, N.M., Shohaimi, A.S., Mamat, Z., Naim, M.S. et al. (2010). Characterization of Nipah virus from naturally infected Pteropus vampyrus bats, Malaysia. Emerging Infectious Diseases 16: 1990-1993. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1612.091790
- Rehacek, J. (1965). Cultivation of different viruses in tick tissue cultures. Acta Virologica **9**: 332-337.
- Salata, C., Monteil, V., Karlberg, H., Celestino, M., Devignot, S., Leijon, M., Bell-Sakyi, L., Bergeron, E., Weber, F. & Mirazimi, A. (2018). The DEVD motif of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus nucleoprotein is essential for viral replication in tick cells. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* 7: 190. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0192-0
- Schrauf, S., Mandl, C.W., Bell-Sakyi, L. & Skern, T. (2009). Extension of flavivirus protein C differentially affects early RNA synthesis and growth in mammalian and arthropod host cells. *Journal of Virology* 83: 11201-11210. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01025-09
- Sendow, I., Ratnawati, A., Taylor, T., Adjid R.M.A., Saepulloh, M., Barr, J., Wong, F., Daniels, P. & Field, H. (2013). Nipah virus in fruit bat *Pteropus vampyrus* in Sumatera, Indonesia. *PLoS One* 8: e69544. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069544
- Tan, C.T., Goh, K.J., Wong, K.T., Sarji, S.A., Chua, K.B., Chew, N.K., Murugasu, P., Loh, Y.L., Chong, H.T., Tan, K.S. *et al.* (2002). Relapsed and late-onset Nipah encephalitis. *Annals of Neurology* **51**: 703-708. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.10212
- Tiong, V., Shu, M.H., Wong, W.F., AbuBakar, S. & Chang, L.Y. (2018). Nipah virus infection of immature dendritic cells increases its transendothelial migration across human brain microvascular endothelial cells. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **9**: 2747. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02747
- Vectorbase (2022). Data set: *Ixodes scapularis* Wikel genome sequence and annotation. https://vectorbase.org/ Accessed 25 August 2022.
- Wacharapluesadee, S., Ghai, S., Duengkae, P., Manee-Orn, P., Tranapongtharm,
 W., Saraya, A.W., Yingsakmongkon, S., Joyjinda, Y., Suradhat, S., Ampoot,
 W. *et al.* (2021). Two decades of one health surveillance of Nipah virus in Thailand. *One Health Outlook* 3: 12.
- https://doi.org/10.1186/s42522-021-00044-9 Wang, L., Harcourt, B.H., Yu, M., Tamin, A., Rota, P.A., Bellini, W.J. & Eaton, B.T. (2001). Molecular biology of Hendra and Nipah viruses. *Microbes and Infection* **3**: 279-287. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1286-4579(01)01381-8
- Yadav, P.D., Sahay, R.R., Balakrishnan, A., Mohandas, S., Radhakrishnan, C., Gokhale, M.D., Balasubramanian, R., Abraham, P., Gupta, N., Sugunan, A.P. et al. (2022). Nipah virus outbreak in Kerala state, India amidst of COVID-19 pandemic. Frontiers in Public Health 10: 818545. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.818545
- Yob, J.M., Field, H., Rashdi, A.M., Morrissy, C., van der Heide, B., Rota, P., bin Adzhar, A., White, J., Daniels, P., Jamaluddin, A. & Ksiazek, T. (2001). Nipah virus infection in bats (order Chiroptera) in peninsular Malaysia. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 7: 439-441. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0703.010312
- Yong, M.Y., Lee, S.C., Ngui, R., Lim, Y.A.L., Phipps, M.E., Chang, L.Y. (2020). Seroprevalence of Nipah virus infection in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal* of Infectious Diseases 221: S370-S374. https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiaa085