[DOI]10.12016/j.issn.2096-1456.2021.11.003

・基础研究・

髓腔固位冠不同修复材料和厚度对应力分布的影响

林捷1, 林珍香2, 郑志强1

1.福建医科大学附属口腔医院特诊科,福建 福州(350002); 2.福建省级机关医院口腔科,福建 福州(350003)

【摘要】目的 使用有限元方法分析不同修复材料和殆面空间厚度对髓腔固位冠修复根管治疗后磨牙应力 分布的影响。方法 建立下颌第一磨牙牙体缺损髓腔固位冠修复有限元模型,采用4种不同修复材料:2种 树脂基陶瓷(Lava Ultimate、Vita Enamic);1种二硅酸锂陶瓷(IPS e.max CAD);1种氧化锆陶瓷(Cercon),并设 计4种殆面空间厚度:1、2、3、4 mm。在殆面垂直和倾斜两方向分别加载600 N模拟最大咬合力,使用有限 元软件 ANSYS 10.0分析应力分布。结果 垂直加载分析显示,冠部应力1 mm-Cercon 组(211.30 MPa)最高,4 mm-Lava Ultimate 组(11.56 MPa)最低;牙本质应力3 mm-Lava Ultimate 组(38.84 MPa)最高,1 mm-Cercon 组(11.68 MPa)最低。牙周膜和周围牙槽骨中的应力变化很小。倾斜加载分析显示,冠部应力1 mm-Cercon 组(78.73 MPa)最高,1 mm-Lava Ultimate 组(35.51 MPa)最低;牙本质应力1 mm-Cercon 颈部组(41.63 MPa)最高,4 mm-Cercon冠部组(10.81 MPa)最低。倾斜加载时水门汀和颈部牙本质的应力集中较垂直加载高。结论 随着髓腔固位冠弹性模量增加,冠修复体的应力呈现上升趋势,牙体中的应力呈现下降趋势;随着冠厚度增加,冠修复体的应力呈现下降趋势。

【关键词】 髓腔固位冠; 厚度; 氧化锆; 树脂基陶瓷; 二硅酸锂陶瓷; 氧化锆陶瓷; 有限元方法; 应力分布



【中图分类号】 R78 【文献标志码】 A 【文章编号】 2096-1456(2021)11-0740-06 _{开放得学(賞要要多)存识码(ostro)} 【引用著录格式】 林捷,林珍香,郑志强. 髓腔固位冠不同修复材料和厚度对应力分布的影响[J]. 口腔疾病防 治, 2021, 29(11): 740-745. doi: 10.12016/j.issn.2096-1456.2021.11.003.

Effects of the different materials and thicknesses on endocrown stress distribution LIN Jie¹, LIN Zhenxiang², ZHENG Zhiqiang¹. 1. Department of VIP Dental Service, School and Hospital of Stomatology, Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou 350002, China; 2. Department of Stomatology, Hospital of Fujian Provincial Authorities, Fuzhou 350003, China

Corresponding author: ZHENG Zhiqiang, Email: 395711575@qq.com, Tel: 86-591-83700838

[Abstract] Objective To analyze the effects of different restorations and the thickness of the occlusal space on the stress distribution of endodontically treated molars with endocrowns. Methods The finite element model of the restoration of the first mandibular molar was created, and four different endocrown materials were used including two resin based ceramics (Lava Ultimate, Vita Enamic), one lithium disilicate ceramic (IPS e.max CAD) and one zirconia ceramics (Cercon), and four kinds of surface space thickness were designed: 1 mm, 2 mm, 3 mm and 4 mm. A total of 600 N was loaded to simulate the maximum bite force in the vertical and inclined directions, and the finite element software ANSYS 10.0 was used to analyze the stress distribution. **Results** The vertical loading analysis showed that the crown stress of the 1 mm-Cercon group was the highest at 211.30 MPa, and that of the 4 mm-Lava Ultimate group was the lowest was 11.68 MPa in 1 mm-Cercon group. The stress in the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone had little change. The inclined loading analysis showed that the crown stress of the 1 mm-Cercon group was the highest of the maximum bite force on group was the highest at 211.30 MPa and that of the 1 mm-Cercon group.

 $- \bigcirc -$

[【]收稿日期】2021-03-26;【修回日期】2021-04-23

[【]基金项目】国家自然科学基金项目(81300907);福建省卫生计生中青年骨干人才培养项目(2016-ZQN-60)

[【]作者简介】林捷,博士,副主任医师,Email: linjie.dds@gmail.com

[【]通信作者】郑志强,硕士,主任医师, Email: 395711575@qq.com, Tel: 86-591-83700838

mm-Lava Ultimate group was the lowest at 35.51 MPa; the highest dentin stress was 41.63 MPa in the 1 mm-Cercon cervical group, and the lowest was 10.81 MPa in the 4 mm-Cercon coronal group. The stress concentration of cement and cervical dentin under inclined loading was higher than that under vertical loading. **Conclusion** The results of finite element analysis show that the elastic modulus of the endocrown increases, the stress of the crown restoration shows an upward trend, and the stress in the tooth shows a downward trend. With increasing crown thickness, the stress of the crown prosthesis decreased.

[Key words] endocrown; thickness; zirconia; resin based ceramics; lithium disilicate ceramics; zirconia ceramics; finite element method; stress distribution

J Prev Treat Stomatol Dis, 2021, 29(11): 740-745.

[Competing interests] The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

This study was supported by the grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81300907); Fujian Health and Family Planning Young and Middle-aged Talents Project (No. 2016-ZQN-60).

 \oplus

临床上根管治疗后磨牙(endodontically treated molar, ETM)多采用单一的全冠保护设计,将患者 牙体大量磨除后取得相应固位和抗力形态^[1],如果 剩余牙体组织不足则辅以桩核进行修复。随着 CAD/CAM、口腔粘接技术,以及全瓷、树脂基陶瓷^[2] 等牙科材料的发展,磨除牙体组织较少的髓腔固位 冠修复技术^[3-5]在修复ETM中成为热点。然而关于 髓腔固位冠的殆面空间厚度和固位体形态等的设 计还未能检索到相关文献。本研究旨在使用有限 元方法分析4种不同修复材料和4种殆面空间厚度 对髓腔固位冠修复ETM应力分布的影响。

1 材料与方法

1.1 建立二维有限元模型

根据《中国人牙体测量和统计资料表》数据^[6]建 立近远中向的二维下颌第一磨牙桩核修复模型(图 1)。模型牙体全长 20.5 mm, 牙冠殆龈距 7.6 mm, 根 长 12.9 mm, 冠颊舌径 10.5 mm, 颈颊舌径 8.6 mm, 牙槽骨位置为釉牙骨质界下 2.0 mm。分别建立髓 腔固位冠、牙釉质、牙本质、牙槽骨、牙周膜和水门 汀结构的有限元模型。设计4种不同修复材料, 即 树脂基陶瓷(Lava Ultimate, 3M,美国; Vita Enamic, Vita,德国)、二硅酸锂陶瓷(IPS e.max CAD, Ivoclar-Vivadent, 列支敦士登)和氧化锆陶瓷(Cercon, Dentsply,美国), 以及 4 种 拾 面空间厚度(1、2、3、 4 mm), 将实验分为 16 个组。 髓腔固位形保持 2°~5°聚合角。使用树脂 类水门汀,厚度设定为0.1 mm。牙周膜厚度设定 为0.2 mm。牙槽骨设定为力学性质良好的2类 骨。主要用于冠部修复体应力分布观察,不设计 根管系统。假设修复体和牙体所有界面间完全 粘接。



From left to right, endocrown models with different thicknesses from 1 mm to 4 mm were designed, and the vertical and oblique loading directions are illustrated Figure 1 Finite element model and the loading diagram

图1 有限元模型及加载示意图

1.2 材料性质,边界条件及加载载荷

表1中列出有限元分析实验所用的材料和组 织性质^[7-10]。分析中的材料均被假设为等方、同质 和线弹性的材料。应用有限元分析软件 ANSYS 10.0(ANSYS,美国)在计算机上划分二维4节点的 四边形结构单元(PLANE42),共有单元44788个, 节点45224个,单元边界的平均长度为0.05 mm。 将牙槽骨底部节点的水平和垂直方向自由度进行 刚性约束,并使用两种载荷条件进行加载,如图1 所示,分别为在下颌第一磨牙食团上殆龈向垂直 加载,以及和牙体长轴成30°倾斜加载,载荷量均 为600 N静态加载,模拟最大咬合力^[7]。使用AN-SYS 10.0分析此髓腔固位冠修复体和牙体的应力 分布,评估最大主应力。

表1 材料和组织性质 Table 1 Material and tissue properties

	1 1				
	Young's modulus (GPa)	Poisson ratio			
Lava Ultimate	12.70	0.45			
Vita Enamic	37.80	0.24			
IPS e.max CAD	95.00	0.25			
Cercon	205.20	0.24			
Resinous cement	7.50	0.30			
Enamel	84.10	0.33			
Dentin	18.60	0.32			
Periodontal ligament	0.15×10 ⁻³	0.45			
Cortical bone	10.40	0.34			
Food bolus	3.41×10 ⁻³	0.10			

2 结 果

最大主应力有限元分析结果如表2和表3所示,图2和图3分别显示垂直和倾斜加载最大主应力云图。垂直加载冠部应力分析显示,1mm-Cercon组(211.30 MPa)最高,4mm-Lava Ultimate组(11.56 MPa)最低;水门汀应力分析显示,1mm-Cercon组(18.73 MPa)最高,4mm-Vita Enamic组(8.39 MPa)最低;牙釉质应力分析显示,3mm-Lava Ultimate组(62.28 MPa)最高,1mm-Cercon组(23.34 MPa)最低;牙本质应力分析显示,3mm-Lava Ultimate组(38.84 MPa)最高,1mm-Cercon组(11.68 MPa)最低。牙周膜和周围牙槽骨中的应力变化很小。

随着弹性模量增加,各厚度组中冠修复体的应力呈现上升趋势,牙体中的应力呈现下降趋势(图2);各材料随着冠厚度增加,冠修复体的应力呈现 下降趋势。IPS e.max CAD组和Cercon组从1 mm到 2 mm,冠修复体的应力分别降低114%和133%,Lava Ultimate组变化不明显。

表2 垂直方向加载最大主应力值

	Table 2 Maximum principal stress value loaded in the vertical direction					MPa
	Endocrown	Cement	Enamel	Dentin	Periodontal ligament	Bone
1 mm-Lava Ultimate	12.61	12.69	42.19	24.22	3.25	8.98
1 mm-Vita Enamic	35.82	9.98	32.29	15.23	3.24	8.03
1 mm-IPS e.max CAD	116.63	14.41	27.35	12.47	3.22	7.60
1 mm-Cercon	211.30	18.73	23.34	11.68	3.22	7.39
2 mm-Lava Ultimate	12.83	17.71	60.57	38.25	3.25	9.03
2 mm-Vita Enamic	23.52	10.77	42.28	24.96	3.24	8.06
2 mm-IPS e.max CAD	54.56	13.98	33.73	19.30	3.24	7.63
2 mm-Cercon	90.53	17.97	28.53	16.06	3.23	7.43
3 mm-Lava Ultimate	11.94	17.91	62.28	38.84	3.25	8.95
3 mm-Vita Enamic	20.98	10.56	42.64	24.89	3.24	7.99
3 mm-IPS e.max CAD	39.14	13.71	34.69	19.93	3.24	7.59
3 mm-Cercon	57.35	17.45	30.37	17.43	3.23	7.40
4 mm-Lava Ultimate	11.56	16.98	54.26	27.46	3.25	8.92
4 mm-Vita Enamic	18.62	8.39	36.81	15.21	3.24	7.98
4 mm-IPS e.max CAD	35.06	12.37	30.22	12.69	3.22	7.61
4 mm-Cercon	46.13	15.79	26.80	12.25	3.22	7.45

 $- \oplus -$

倾斜加载应力分析结果显示与垂直加载具有 类似趋势,在水门汀、颈部牙本质、牙周膜和牙槽 骨中的应力集中较垂直加载高。由于倾斜应力造 成牙体颊舌侧应力分布差异较大,表3中将牙釉质 颊侧和舌侧,以及牙本质冠部和颈部分别列出,以 便比较。冠部应力分析显示1mm-Cercon组(78.73 MPa)最高,1mm-Lava Ultimate 组(35.51 MPa)最 低;水门汀应力分析显示,1 mm-Cercon组(21.03 MPa)最高,4 mm-Cercon组(12.53 MPa)最低;牙釉 质应力分析显示,3 mm-Lava Ultimate 颊侧组(28.93 MPa)最高,2 mm-Cercon 颊侧组(6.43 MPa) 最低;牙本质应力分析显示,1 mm-Cercon颈部组(41.63 MPa)最高,4 mm-Cercon冠部组(10.81 MPa) 最低。牙周膜和周围牙槽骨中的应力变化很小。

口腔疾病防治 2021年11月 第29卷 第11期

Journal of Prevention and Treatment for Stomatological Diseases, Nov. 2021, Vol.29 No.11 http://www.kqjbfz.com · 743 ·

表3 倾斜方向加载最大主应力值

	Table 3 Maximum principal stress value loaded in the inclined direction						MPa	
	Endocrown	Cement	Enamel (Buccal)	Enamel (Lingual)	Dentin (Coronal)	Dentin (Cervical)	Periodontal ligament	Bone
1 mm-Lava Ultimate	35.51	12.22	16.62	25.88	15.96	35.20	8.55	21.06
1 mm-Vita Enamic	44.98	16.00	8.30	25.02	12.59	39.19	8.54	20.71
1 mm-IPS e.max CAD	60.83	19.07	7.47	25.89	14.32	40.86	8.54	20.67
1 mm-Cercon	78.73	21.03	7.23	26.24	15.79	41.63	8.52	20.48
2 mm-Lava Ultimate	35.94	13.92	26.71	20.59	16.46	35.25	8.57	20.68
2 mm-Vita Enamic	37.75	15.69	13.75	17.96	12.07	39.25	8.55	20.44
2 mm-IPS e.max CAD	45.67	18.18	9.12	17.38	13.33	40.77	8.54	20.35
2 mm-Cercon	62.21	19.46	6.43	17.15	14.14	41.41	8.51	20.14
3 mm-Lava Ultimate	37.52	14.22	28.93	28.72	16.46	35.53	8.57	21.03
3 mm-Vita Enamic	39.08	14.87	16.92	23.76	11.65	39.37	8.56	21.01
3 mm-IPS e.max CAD	47.56	16.38	12.80	21.53	11.36	40.68	8.55	20.67
3 mm-Cercon	64.45	16.69	10.26	20.28	11.28	41.17	8.52	20.55
4 mm-Lava Ultimate	37.37	14.20	27.14	18.90	16.99	35.78	8.59	20.86
4 mm-Vita Enamic	40.57	13.00	16.06	11.78	11.17	39.34	8.54	20.73
4 mm-IPS e.max CAD	43.76	13.16	11.98	10.17	10.94	40.40	8.54	20.53
4 mm-Cercon	58.12	12.53	9.44	9.54	10.81	40.75	8.51	20.45



Different colors represent different stress ranges. From 1 mm to 4 mm, with increasing crown thickness, the peak stress of the crowns decreased. From top to bottom, with the increase in the elastic modulus of the endocrown, the stress of crown restoration showed an upward trend while the stress of the tooth showed a downward trend. The arrow indicates that the stress of the lava ultimate group was concentrated in the tooth tissue

Figure 2 Distribution of the maximum principal stress of each group loaded in the vertical direction
图 2 垂直方向加载最大主应力的分布云图



Different colors represent the different stress ranges. The stress concentration of cement and cervical dentin under inclined loading was higher than that under vertical loading. From the top to the bottom, with the increase in the elastic modulus of the pulp retention crown, the stress of crown restoration showed an upward trend while the stress of the tooth showed a downward trend. Red and black arrows indicate the stress concentration areas of the crown and neck dentin, respectively

Figure 3 Distribution of the maximum principal stress of each group loaded in the inclined direction

图3 倾斜方向加载各组最大主应力的分布云图

倾斜加载时水门汀和颈部牙本质的应力集中 较垂直加载高。随着髓腔固位冠弹性模量增加, 冠修复体的应力呈现上升趋势,牙体中的应力呈 现下降趋势。

3 讨 论

虽然下颌第一磨牙髓腔固位冠本身是三维结构,但其主要特点在近远中向的二维模型中可以反映。二维平面有限元模型与三维立体模型比较,在结构完整性方面有不足,但在网格划分上有优势,并且建模快速简单,相对误差小,易于发现问题本质^[11]。本研究中使用的树脂基陶瓷,二硅酸锂陶瓷和氧化锆陶瓷为目前临床上制作髓腔固位冠常用的修复材料^[12],其各有不同的材料学性能,其中Lava Ultimate和Vita Enamic 是两种制备工艺不同的树脂基陶瓷^[2],力学性能有差异,因此纳入实验进行比较。

本实验中髓腔固位冠越薄,修复体中应力集 中程度越高。根据李杰森等^[14]对种植体冠修复的 研究结果,树脂基陶瓷 Vita Enamic 和二硅酸锂陶 瓷 IPS e.max CAD 随着冠厚度增加,冠修复体的应 力呈现上升趋势,这与本研究天然基牙的结果相 反。主要原因是种植修复的内核为弹性模量较高 的钛合金基台(120 GPa),与天然牙本质(18.4 GPa)在材料学上有很大不同。Vita Enamic(37.8 GPa)和IPS e.max CAD(95 GPa)的弹性模量介于钛 合金和牙本质之间,相对于种植冠修复而言,相当 于"软壳硬核",而相对于天然牙则是"硬壳软核", 因此产生了相反的趋势。Lava Ultimate 的弹性模 量与牙体接近,因此几种厚度冠修复体中应力变 化不明显。

水门汀中的应力变化受弹性模量影响,弹性 模量越高水门汀中应力越高,此外还受泊松比影 响较大,Lava Ultimate的泊松比为0.45,受力后横向 变形约为其他材料(0.25)的2倍,因此如图2中箭 头指示的牙体黄色部分所示,在嵌入部分的牙体 和水门汀中产生较大的集中应力。本研究主要讨 论食团从殆面垂直加载,当食团作用于倾斜的牙 尖斜面时,是垂直和侧向力共同作用的受力形式, Dal 等^[7]研究认为这和临床情况较为接近。后牙受 到倾斜力对于水门汀的剪切应力疲劳、修复体的 脱离以及牙体折裂有很大影响,有限元分析结果 表明倾斜加载水门汀以及牙颈部的应力集中较垂 直加载高,在临床工作中对髓腔固位冠的牙尖斜 度进行适当调整,避免过大的侧向力作用导致修 复体脱落。林珍香等[5]使用倾斜加载的实测实验, 比较了二硅酸锂陶瓷和氧化锆髓腔固位冠的殆面 厚度设计对抗折性能的影响,结果表明相同厚度 条件下强度和弹性模量较高的氧化锆较二硅酸锂 陶瓷有更高的抗折力。本研究中结果表明虽然氧 化错的应力集中较二硅酸锂高,但氧化锆强度也 更高,因此在实测实验中有更高的抗折力。倾斜 力条件时牙周膜和牙槽骨中的应力集中约为垂直 受力的2.5~3倍,主要考虑倾斜荷载条件下应力 集中区域向牙周部分转移,但不同弹性模量材料 的区别不大,修复材料对应力分布的影响主要在 冠部。

本有限元研究表明,同一厚度中髓腔固位冠材 料的弹性模量越高,修复体的应力集中程度越高, 而牙体的应力集中越低。即弹性模量高的修复体 更有利于保护基牙,但修复体更容易被破坏。因此 从材料力学的角度来说,使用高强度的氧化锆材料 有利于提高整体抗折力。但临床上氧化锆的粘接 较其他材料困难,由于静态有限元分析中对各界面 设置完全粘接,修复体脱落的情况没有体现。临床 上需要综合考虑患者殆力情况,牙体本身缺损形 态,材料加工,粘接难易等因素,对修复材料和设计 进行合理选择^[9.15]。从1~4 mm的数据看,加大牙 体预备量,修复体厚度增加提高了修复体抗力形, 也造成牙体抗力形的下降。因此,在一定范围内磨 除牙体,保证牙体和修复体抗力形的平衡关系,是 获得成功修复的力学基础。

4 小 结

 \oplus

本研究中应用有限元模型在静态荷载条件

Journal of Prevention and Treatment for Stomatological Diseases, Nov. 2021, Vol.29 No.11 http://www.kqjbfz.com · 745 ·

下,分析不同全瓷修复材料和厚度设计在髓腔固 位冠的应力分布情况。基于本实验研究结果,随 着髓腔固位冠弹性模量增加,冠修复体的应力呈 现上升趋势,牙体中的应力呈现下降趋势。各材 料随着冠厚度增加,冠修复体的应力呈现下降趋 势。倾斜加载时水门汀和颈部牙本质的应力集中 较垂直加载高。临床上需要综合考虑患者殆力, 牙体缺损形态,冠修复材料的力学特性、加工特 点、粘接难易等因素进行合理选择和牙体预备。

[Author contributions] Lin J performed the experiments and wrote the article. Lin ZX revised the article. Zheng ZQ designed the study. All authors read and approved the final manuscript as submitted.

参考文献

- Saber SM, Hayaty DM, Nawar NN, et al. The effect of access cavity designs and sizes of root canal preparations on the biomechanical behavior of an endodontically treated mandibular first molar: a finite element analysis[J]. J Endod, 2020 (20): S0099 - 2399(20) 30451. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2020.06.040.
- [2] Baniasadi M, Darijani H, Parirokh M, et al. Evaluating the effect of oblique ridge conservation on stress distribution in an endodontically treated maxillary first molar: a finite element study[J]. J Endod, 2021, 47(3): 500-508. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2020.12.010.
- [3] Falahchai M, Babaee Hemmati Y, Neshandar Asli H, et al. Marginal gap of monolithic zirconia endocrowns fabricated by using digital scanning and conventional impressions[J]. J Prosthet Dent, 2021, 125(2): 325.e1-325.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2020.05.042.
- [4] Dartora NR, Maurício Moris IC, Poole SF, et al. Mechanical behavior of endocrowns fabricated with different CAD-CAM ceramic systems[J]. J Prosthet Dent, 2021, 125(1): 117-125. doi: 10.1016/j. prosdent.2019.11.008.
- [5] 林珍香,潘在兴,叶起清,等. 二硅酸锂陶瓷和氧化锆髓腔固位 冠的牙合面厚度设计对抗折性能的影响[J]. 华西口腔医学杂志, 2020, 38(6): 647-651. doi: 10.7518/hxkq.2020.06.007. Lin ZX, Pan ZX, Ye QQ, et al. Effect of occlusal thickness design on the fracture resistance of endocrowns restored with lithium disilicate ceramic and zirconia[J]. West Chin J Stomatol, 2020, 38(6): 647-651. doi: 10.7518/hxkg.2020.06.007.
- [6] 皮昕. 口腔解剖生理学[M]. 5版. 北京: 人民卫生出版社, 2003: 38-40.

Pi X. Oral anatomy and physiology[M]. 5th ed. Beijing: People's Medical Publishing House, 2003: 38-40.

- [7] Dal Piva AMO, Tribst JPM, Borges ALS, et al. CAD-FEA modeling and analysis of different full crown monolithic restorations[J]. Dent Mater, 2018, 34(9): 1342 - 1350. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2018. 06.024.
- [8] Tribst JPM, Dal Piva AMO, Madruga CFL, et al. Endocrown restorations: Influence of dental remnant and restorative material on stress distribution[J]. Dent Mater, 2018, 34(10): 1466-1473. doi: 10.1016/j.dental.2018.06.012.
- [9] Lin J, Matinlinna JP, Shinya A, et al. Effect of fiber post length and abutment height on fracture resistance of endodontically treated premolars prepared for zirconia crowns[J]. Odontology, 2018, 106(2): 215-222. doi: 10.1007/s10266-017-0320-7.
- [10] Belli R, Wendler M, de Ligny D, et al. Chairside CAD/CAM materials. Part 1: measurement of elastic constants and microstructural characterization[J]. Dent Mater, 2017, 33(1): 84-98. doi: 10.1016/j. dental.2016.10.009.
- [11] Lin J, Lin Z, Zheng Z. Effect of different restorative crown design and materials on stress distribution in endodontically treated molars: a finite element analysis study[J]. BMC Oral Health, 2020, 20 (1): 226. doi: 10.1186/s12903-020-01214-3.
- [12] Al-Dabbagh RA. Survival and success of endocrowns: a systematic review and meta-analysis[J]. J Prosthet Dent, 2021, 125(3): 415. e1-415.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.prosdent.2020.01.011.
- [13] Taha D, Spintzyk S, Schille C, et al. Fracture resistance and failure modes of polymer infiltrated ceramic endocrown restorations with variations in margin design and occlusal thickness[J]. J Prosthodont Res, 2018, 62(3): 293-297. doi: 10.1016/j.jpor.2017. 11.003.
- [14] 李杰森,林珍香,吴东,等.不同全瓷修复材料和厚度在种植牙 冠修复的有限元分析[J]. 口腔疾病防治, 2021, 29(3): 166-170. doi: 10.12016/j.issn.2096-1456.2021.03.004.

Li JS, Lin ZX, Wu D, et al. Finite element analysis of the stress distribution of dental implant crowns with different all-ceramic materials and thicknesses[J]. J Prev Treat Stomatol Dis, 2021, 29(3): 166-170. doi: 10.12016/j.issn.2096-1456.2021.03.004.

[15] Hasanzade M, Moharrami M, Alikhasi M. Evaluation of marginal and internal adaptation of endocrowns fabricated from three different CAD/CAM materials[J]. Eur J Prosthodont Restor Dent, 2019, 27(4): 164-171. doi: 10.1922/EJPRD_01931Hasanzade08.

(编辑 罗燕鸿,石勇)



公众号